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## INTRODUCHON

We prepared this PRB/KIDS COUNT Special Report to increase public awareness and understanding of recent changes in the welfare of children in this country. Too often, decisions that affect children are made without good statistical information. And when data are lacking, decisions are likely to be based on anecdotes, ideology, or conjecture. Although national figures can provide some guidance for decision-makers, increasingly, state (and local) data are needed to inform policy decisions. This report, based on reliable state data from a trusted source, provides a first look at state trends over the past decade.
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> This report, with its focus on states, is particularly fimely, given the recent shift in power from national to state government. The so-called "devolution revolution" has given state policymakers more discretion in setting policies and program rules regarding initiatives affecting children.

An assessment of changes in child well-being is timely because many of the social, economic, policy, and demographic changes that took place in the United States during the 1990s had important implications for children and families throughout the country. The following are a few examples:

- Welfare reform ended "welfare as we know it" and ushered in a new relationship between the government and poor families.
- Increased immigration produced a national population where one-fifth of all children are now immigrants or children of foreign-born parents.
- The percentage of mothers in the labor force reached an all-time high.
- The number of children in the United States grew by more than 8 million-the largest increase since the 1950 s.

Data released by the U.S. Census Bureau in November 2001 provide the measures needed for a state-by-state examination of trends in the well-being of
children and families. In this publication, we assessed changes over the past decade by comparing figures from the 1990 Decennial Census with similar figures from a special U.S. Census Bureau survey called the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS). The C2SS was fielded during 2000 to test the methodology for the U.S. Census Bureau's new American Community Survey (ACS) and to benchmark data from the ACS against the decennial census. (See page 20 for more details about the C2SS.)

While the data collected in the C2SS are similar to data collected in the 2000 Decennial Census, the C2SS data are available about a year earlier than comparable 2000 Census data. Moreover, several of the measures used here are not available in standard tables from the U.S. Census Bureau. We obtained these data through a special arrangement with the U.S. Census Bureau.

This publication looks at changes in 11 key measures of child well-being between 1990 and 2000. Changes are provided on a state-by-state basis, and national figures are presented with state figures to help readers quickly ascertain whether a state has improved more than average over the 1990s and which dimensions of children's lives have changed the most over the past decade.

The page layout is designed to focus readers' attention on comparative changes between 1990 and 2000. It is important to recognize that the perspective reflected in this publication, highlighting state changes in child well-being that occurred between 1990 and 2000, is distinctly different from comparisons of child well-being at a single point in time, say, 2000. For example, the child poverty rate in Mississippi fell by

## SUMMARY AND FINDINGS

The broad array of data presented in this PRB/KIDS COUNT Special Report is intended to illuminate state-by-state trends in the wellbeing of America's children from 1990 to 2000. States can also use these data to compare the status of their children with children in other states across several specific dimensions of child well-being.

Although the 11 measures used here can hardly capture the full range of conditions shaping children's lives, we believe these indicators reflect a wide range of factors affecting child welfare. Moreover, they permit legitimate comparisons because they are consistent across states and over time.
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> Despite the enormous wealth in the United States, our child poverty rate is among the highest in the developed world.

The measures shown here are a combination of "outcomes" and "risk factors." Although conceptually there are distinctions to be made between outcomes and risk factors, all of the measures used to rank states in this report are closely associated with problems for kids-either directly or indirectly.

The 11 key indicators of child well-being used here are from the 1990 Census and the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS) and reflect the best available state-level data for each indicator. However, it is important to recognize that no data are perfect. Therefore, we urge readers to focus on relatively large differences-both across states and over time within a state. Small differences may reflect random fluctuations rather than real changes in the well-being of children. In light of the sampling error in these estimates, the figures presented here are rounded to whole numbers to avoid giving readers a false sense of precision. In addition to standard errors, there are many other sources of error in any survey data. For more information, visit the U.S.

Census Bureau's website at www.census.gov/c2ss/ www/methodology/Accuracy.htm. For more information about the standard errors associated with the sample data used in this report, contact Kerri Rivers at the Population Reference Bureau in Washington, DC, at 202.483.1100

We include data for the District of Columbia in this publication, but we do not include DC in our rankings. The District is so different from any state that the comparisons are not meaningful. It is more useful to look at changes in the District of Columbia over the 1990s or to compare the District with other large cities. ${ }^{1}$

We did not create a composite index based on all 11 indicators because some key domains of child well-being, such as health status, are not reflected here.

Table 1 shows national changes from 1990 to 2000 in the 11 measures used in this report. Eight of the 11 measures improved between 1990 and 2000, although several decreased by only 1 percentage point. While these figures paint a relatively positive picture of changes in child well-being during the 1990s, the picture varies from state to state.

In the remainder of this section, each of the 11 indicators is discussed separately. This section offers some background about each indicator, how it is linked to child well-being, citations to related studies, and a discussion of the range of state changes.

With regard to the state pages that follow, it is important to keep in mind that a negative change (that is, a number preceded by a minus sign) is generally a sign of improvement because it indicates
that a problem is diminishing. We point this out because negative signs often are associated with negative trends, but that is not the case here.

It is also important to recognize that the trends shown here are based on two data points: 1990 and 2000. In some cases there is reason to believe that recent trends-at the end of the 1990s-are different from trends that occurred earlier in the decade. These year-to-year fluctuations are not reflected in data from two points in time.

Finally, some of the 1990 Census figures in this report differ from 1990 figures presented in the KIDS COUNT Data Books, which are based on annual data from the Current Population Survey and other sources.

Percent of children living in poverty
The percentage of children living in poverty is perhaps the most global and widely used indicator of child well-being. This is partly due to the fact that poverty is closely linked to a number of undesirable outcomes in areas such as health, education, emotional welfare, and delinquency. ${ }^{2}$

The data shown here are based on the official poverty measure as determined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget. However, readers should note that a number of researchers are critical of the official measure, ${ }^{3}$ and public opinion polls suggest that the current poverty line ( $\$ 17,463$ for a family of two adults and two children in 2000) is unrealistically low. ${ }^{4}$ The U.S. Census Bureau has recently started publishing a set of experimental poverty measures that incorporate many of the changes called for in a study by the National Academy of Sciences. ${ }^{5}$

| Table 1: National Changes in Child Well-Being, 1990-2000 | 1990 | 2000 | Percent Change 1990-2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of children living in poverty | 18\% | 17\% | -6\% |
| Percent of children living in single-parent families | 24\% | 30\% | 25\% |
| Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment | 29\% | 28\% | -3\% |
| Percent of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout | 22\% | 19\% | -14\% |
| Percent of children living in low-income working families | 19\% | 22\% | 16\% |
| Percent of children living in households without a telephone | 8\% | 4\% | -50\% |
| Percent of children living in households without a vehicle | 9\% | 7\% | -22\% |
| Percent of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) | 5\% | 6\% | 20\% |
| Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) | 12\% | 11\% | -8\% |
| Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) | 10\% | 9\% | -10\% |
| Percent of children living in "high-risk" families | 13\% | 12\% | -8\% |

SOURCE: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau.
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Despite the enormous wealth in the United States, our child poverty rate is among the highest in the developed world. One study that examined child poverty rates in 17 developed countries indicated that the child poverty rate in the United States was the highest among these countries ( 50 percent higher than the next highest country). ${ }^{6}$ This finding was reinforced by a recent United Nations study of industrialized nations. ${ }^{7}$ While the gap in the child poverty rate between the United States and other developed countries is partly a product of differences in private-sector income, the enormous differences in governmental efforts to alleviate child poverty greatly accentuate the disparities

Nationally, the child poverty rate declined by 6 percent during the 1990s, with the rate falling from 18 percent in 1990 to 17 percent in 2000 . However, the decline in poverty was not uniform across all states. Between 1990 and 2000, the percentage of children in poverty decreased in 29 states, increased in 16 states and the District of Columbia, and remained about the same in 5 states. Alaska had the largest increase in child poverty ( 30 percent), but still had a relatively low child poverty rate in 2000 (13 percent). States with the largest decreases in child poverty rates during the 1990s were Colorado and South Dakota ( 33 percent each) and Minnesota (31 percent).

In 2000 , there were 12.4 million children living in poverty in the United States. Louisiana and West Virginia had the highest child poverty rates ( 28 percent each), and New Hampshire had the lowest rate at 7 percent.

Percent of children living in
single-parent families
The percentage of children living in single-parent families has risen steadily over the past few decades and is a growing concern among policymakers and the public. The U.S. Census Bureau reports that the number of children living with a single parent rose by more than 200 percent from 1960 to $2000 .{ }^{8}$ Reducing the number of single-parent families, particularly those resulting from births to unmarried women, is a prominent focus of federal and state welfare reform legislation.

Much of the public interest is linked to the fact that children growing up in single-parent households typically do not have access to the same economic or human resources as children growing up in twoparent families. For example, 40 percent of children in female-headed families were poor in $2000 .{ }^{9}$ Most single-parent families are headed by women, and the absence of fathers may have implications beyond economics. One recent study found that youths raised in fatherless families were much more likely to be incarcerated even after other factors, such as poverty, were taken into account. ${ }^{10}$

The long-term rise in divorce and single parenting has led some public officials to propose or enact policy interventions. For example, Louisiana has introduced a "covenant marriage" option, which makes it more difficult for couples to obtain a divorce. Several other state-level initiatives have focused on lowering the divorce rate. Oklahoma's governor has announced a $\$ 10$ million initiative to reduce his state's divorce rate by one-third. Utah has created a Commission on

Marriage to promote marriage preparation classes for high school students, and several states have passed or are contemplating legislation that would reduce the cost of a marriage license for couples who take a marriage preparation course. ${ }^{11}$ Even the federal government has become involved; the 1996 welfare reform law has a provision that rewards states for lowering out-of-wedlock births.

It is important to understand that this report shows trends in single-parent families based on data for 1990 and 2000 only and does not necessarily reflect trends in the late 1990s. National-level data from other sources suggest that there was a rapid increase in the percentage of children living in singleparent families in the early 1990s followed by a slight decline late in the decade. ${ }^{12}$

The 1990 Census and the C2SS show that the percentage of children living in single-parent families increased from 24 percent in 1990 to 30 percent in 2000, a 25 percent increase. Of the 11 indicators examined in this publication, this is the only one that showed an increase in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. However, there was substantial variation in the magnitude of this increase, ranging from a low of 13 percent in New Jersey to a high of 60 percent in North Dakota.

In 2000, more than 21 million children lived in single-parent families. Mississippi had the highest percentage of children living in single-parent families ( 41 percent), followed by Louisiana ( 40 percent). The share of children in single-parent families was smallest in Utah (18 percent).

> Most single-parent families are headed by women, and the absence of fathers may have implications beyond economics. One recent study found that youths raised in fatherless families were much more likely to be incarcerated even affer other factors, such as poverty, were taken into account.

Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment In 2000, more than 19 million children did not have a parent in the household who worked full-time, yearround. Although many of these children were poor, the problems associated with this situation went beyond the effects of poverty. According to a recent report by the Federal Interagency Forum on Child and Family Statistics, "Secure parental employment may also enhance children's psychological well-being and improve family functioning by reducing stress and other negative effects that unemployment and underemployment can have on parents." ${ }^{1 / 3}$ The belief that children are better off when their parents work was a key premise of the 1996 welfare reform act.

Because a working parent offers children a strong, positive role model, a child who grows up in a family without a regularly employed parent does not experience the positive effects that such a parental figure offers. Also, some scholars note that the routinization
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cent increase) experienced the largest increases.
In 2000, there were 19.1 million children living in families where no parent had full-time, year-round employment. Alaska had the highest percentage of children without a parent who worked full-time, yearround in 2000 ( 43 percent), and New Hampshire had the lowest percentage (19 percent).

Percent of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout
The human resources parents bring to a family have important implications for the socialization of their children. Parents without a high school diploma are less likely to provide their children with an environment that is educationally stimulating. Research has shown that more educated parents limit television watching and encourage reading, studying, and other behaviors that may lead to more positive academic outcomes for children. ${ }^{14}$ Children with well-educated parents also score higher on standardized tests, are more likely to visit libraries, and are more likely to read books in their free time. ${ }^{15}$

While this measure does not provide a complete picture of the education levels of every adult in a household, the education of the household head is closely related to the general education level in a household. Also, this measure is useful because it can be applied to all children, regardless of their living arrangements.

Between 1990 and 2000, the percentage of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout declined from 22 percent to 19 percent, reflecting a long-term, nationwide trend of improved
high school graduation rates. However, there were five states where the percentage of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout increased: Alaska (27 percent), Wyoming (10 percent), Colorado ( 7 percent), Oklahoma ( 5 percent), and New Mexico (4 percent). Among the states with the most improvement on this indicator were South Dakota (46 percent decrease), Vermont (43 percent decrease), and Hawaii (41 percent decrease).

Nationwide in 2000, there were 13.5 million children living in families where the household head was a high school dropout. In 2000, California, at 29 percent, had the highest percentage of children living in households where the household head was a high school dropout, followed by Texas, at 28 percent. South Dakota had the lowest rate at 7 percent.

Percent of children living in
low-income working families
While parental work and income are widely viewed as beneficial to children, many low-income working families do not earn enough money to provide health care, child care, and other critical services that working families need. ${ }^{16}$

During the 1990s, the number of children in lowincome working families (at least one parent worked 50 or more weeks a year, but family income was below 200 percent of the poverty threshold) increased from 10.8 million to 14.2 million. In 1990, 19 percent of all children were living in low-income working families; by 2000 , the figure had risen to 22 percent.

This measure is more difficult to interpret than the other measures included in this report. If the number
of working-poor families is increasing because parents are moving from long-term welfare dependency to work, then an increase is probably a positive change for children. On the other hand, if an increase means more of the parents who are working full-time, yearround are not able to lift their families out of "poverty," then this reflects a worsening situation for children.

Between 1990 and 2000, the percentage of children who lived in low-income working families increased in 34 states and the District of Columbia, decreased in 11 states, and stayed about the same in 5 states. The 3 states with the largest decreases were Utah (23 percent), followed by Idaho and South Dakota (21 percent each). The states with the largest increases in the percentage of kids in low-income working families were California and Maryland (50 percent each), Connecticut (44 percent), and Nevada (42 percent). The District of Columbia also had a 50 percent increase in this measure over the decade.

Nationwide in 2000, there were 14.2 million children living in low-income working families. In 2000, New Mexico ( 33 percent) had a higher percentage of children living in low-income working families than any other state, and Alaska (11 percent) had the smallest share of children in low-income working families.

## Percent of children living in

 households without a telephoneThe direct impact on children of living without a telephone at home is difficult to assess. However, it is noteworthy that 18 percent of 16 - to 19 -year-olds who have dropped out of high school do not have a phone at home, compared with 4 percent of teens who have
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not dropped out of school. ${ }^{17}$ While the cause-and-effect relationship is unclear, this statistic underscores the disadvantages faced by children without a phone at home. Moreover, outreach programs that rely on telephone contact miss a significant segment of needy kids. For example, a recent court decision in Texas found that the high rate of "phonelessness" among low-income families confounded state efforts to provide medical assistance. ${ }^{18}$

Between 1990 and 2000, the percentage of children living in households without a telephone decreased in every state and the District of Columbia. States that improved by 75 percent or more between 1990 and 2000 include Colorado, Connecticut, Maine, New Hampshire, South Dakota, Vermont, and Washington. Indiana showed the least improvement, with an 11 percent decrease. Most states reduced rates of phonelessness by at least 50 percent over the decade.

Nationally, about 2.6 million children ( 4 percent) lived in households without a telephone in 2000. ${ }^{19}$ New Mexico stands out as the only state where more than 10 percent of children lived in households without a telephone in 2000. Maine had the lowest rate at less than 0.5 percent.

Percent of children living in
households without a vehicle
While policymakers and economists increasingly extoll the need to think about regional, national, and global economies, many families living in our poorest neighborhoods find it extremely difficult to work or shop outside their immediate community because they have neither a car nor access to reliable public transporta-
tion. Although many moderate- and upper-income families have more than one vehicle, half of the children in low-income urban areas live in households that do not have a car. ${ }^{20}$ In light of a welfare reform approach that requires most parents in low-income families to work, it is difficult to overstate the importance of having a dependable vehicle. C2SS data show that 88 percent of workers used private vehicles to get to work in $2000 .{ }^{21}$

While communication and transportation are among the most obvious types of connections that are missing for many families living in poor neighborhoods, they often reflect more serious levels of isolation. Such isolation leaves these families disconnected from economic opportunity, meaningful social support systems, and the services and institutions that help families succeed.

Nationally, the percentage of children living in households without a vehicle fell from 9 percent in 1990 to 7 percent in 2000. The percentage of children without access to vehicles decreased in 35 states and the District of Columbia, stayed about the same in 11 states, and increased in only 4 states-Indiana ( 33 percent), Hawaii ( 25 percent), Arizona ( 17 percent), and Rhode Island (17 percent). States with the most improvement include Colorado, Kansas, Maine, Michigan, New Mexico, South Dakota, and Vermont, each with at least a 50 percent decrease.

In 2000, there were about 5 million children living in households without a vehicle. The states with the smallest share of children without access to vehicles were Idaho, Maine, and South Dakota, with 1 percent each. In contrast, 23 percent of children in

New York lacked access to a vehicle in 2000. In New York and possibly a few other states, the relatively high percentage of children living in households without a vehicle reflects the high concentration of people in urban areas where reliable public transportation is easily available.

Percent of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17)
The wave of immigration to the United States between 1990 and 2000, especially from Mexico and other non-English-speaking countries, has resulted in an increase in the number of children who have difficulty speaking English. The Federal Interagency Forum on Child and Family Statistics reports that "children who speak languages other than English at home and who also have difficulty speaking English may face greater challenges progressing in school and, once they become adults, in the labor market." ${ }^{22}$ Difficulty speaking English may be partially responsible for high dropout rates among immigrant children. ${ }^{23}$

In the C2SS, ability to speak English is determined for individuals who speak a language other than English at home. The measure is defined as the share of children ages 5 to 17 who do not speak English at home and speak English less than "very well." This includes children who speak English "well," "not well," or "not at all." Questionnaire responses on the ability to speak English represent either the person's own perception of his or her English-language ability or, in the case of most children, the perception of another household member.

> In light of a welfare reform approach that requires most parents in low-income families to work, it is difficult to overstate the importance of having a dependable vehicle. C2SS data show that 88 percent of workers used private vehicles to get to work in 2000.

Between 1990 and 2000, the percentage of children who have difficulty speaking English increased in 18 states, decreased in 11 states and the District of Columbia, and stayed about the same in 21 states. Delaware, Kansas, Louisiana, and West Virginia showed the most improvement on this indicator, while Nebraska and Oklahoma showed the largest increases in children with difficulty speaking English. (We urge readers to use caution when interpreting these figures because some of the changes seen in this indicator may be due to small sample sizes and/or small base percentages.) Nationally, the percentage of children with difficulty speaking English increased slightly, from 5 percent to 6 percent, over the decade.

Nationwide in 2000, there were almost 3 million children ages 5 to 17 who had difficulty speaking English. California had the highest percentage of children with difficulty speaking English (14 percent), and West Virginia had the lowest rate (less than 0.5 percent).

> The more risk factors that children have in their lives, the higher the odds that they will suffer one or more negative outcomes (such as dropping out of high school, getting arrested, or having a baby) before reaching adulthood.

## Percent of teens who are

high school dropouts (ages 16-19)
Graduating from high school is critical for both going to college and finding a good job. In many school systems around the country, a high percentage of students stay in school and graduate on time. However, many students attend schools where graduating on time with a solid education is more the exception than the rule. In high-poverty neighborhoods in large cities (neighborhoods with poverty rates above 20 percent), for example, one-fifth of 16 - to 19 -year-olds were high school dropouts in 1999. ${ }^{24}$

Teens who drop out of high school find it difficult to achieve financial success. Data from the U.S. Census Bureau's Survey of Income and Program Participation indicate that high school dropouts are about three times as likely to slip into poverty as those who have finished high school. ${ }^{25}$ Also, as a report from the U.S. Department of Education concludes, "In terms of employment, earnings, and family formation,
dropouts from high school face difficulties in making the transition to the adult world. ${ }^{" 26}$ As America moves further into the 21st century, when advanced skills and technical knowledge will be required for most well-paying jobs, the prospects for those who have not completed high school will be even more dismal.

Indeed, ongoing changes in the U.S. economy over the past quarter century have increased the financial costs of dropping out of high school. Between 1973 and 1999, for example, the average hourly wage (adjusted for inflation) of high school dropouts fell 24 percent. ${ }^{27}$ The deterioration of wages among poorly educated workers has hit the youngest workers the hardest, and this factor often is implicated in the deterioration of family formation and family stability among young adults. ${ }^{28}$

Nationwide, the percentage of high school dropouts fell from 12 percent in 1990 to 11 percent in 2000. Between 1990 and 2000, the percentage of teens who dropped out of high school fell in 27 states and the District of Columbia, stayed about the same in 7 states, and increased in 16 states. States with the most improvement include Hawaii, Maine, and North Dakota, each with a 40 percent or greater decrease in the percentage of high school dropouts. The percentage of high school dropouts increased most dramatically in New Mexico (31 percent), Arizona ( 27 percent), and Wyoming (25 percent).

In 2000 , there were 1.6 million teenagers between the ages of 16 and 19 who were high school dropouts. Arizona had the highest high school dropout rate at 19 percent, and North Dakota had the lowest rate at 3 percent.

Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19)
During late adolescence, young people make critical choices that affect their transition to adulthood. The percentage of teens not attending school and not working (sometimes referred to as "idle teens" or "disconnected youth") reflects the roughly 1.3 million young people, ages 16 to 19 , who are not engaged in either of the core activities that usually occupy people during this crucial period in their lives. Those individuals who have dropped out of school are clearly vulnerable, but many others who have finished school but are not working also are marginalized. Work experience at this stage in life is critical, and people who spend a large share of their young adult years unemployed have a hard time finding and keeping a job later in life.

Nationwide, there was a decline in the percentage of 16 - to 19 -year-olds who were neither attending school nor working (from 10 percent in 1990 to 9 percent in 2000). The percentage of idle teens increased in 11 states, stayed about the same in 10 states, and decreased in 29 states and the District of Columbia. Maine and Minnesota, each with a 50 percent or greater decrease in the percentage of idle teens, showed the most improvement. The percentage of idle teens increased by at least 25 percent in 3 states: Hawaii, Idaho, and Utah.

In 2000 , there were 1.3 million idle teens in the United States. At the state level, Louisiana had the highest percentage of idle teens in 2000 ( 15 percent), and Maine and Minnesota had the lowest rates (3 percent each).

Percent of children living in "high-risk" families The family risk index ${ }^{29}$ is a composite of four risk factors:

## - Child lives in a family with income below the poverty line <br> - Child lives in a single-parent family <br> - Child lives in a family where no parent has full-time, year-round employment <br> - Child lives with a household head who is a high school dropout

The more risk factors that children have in their lives, the higher the odds that they will suffer one or more negative outcomes (such as dropping out of high school, getting arrested, or having a baby) before reaching adulthood. In our analysis, children living in families with three or more of these risk factors are categorized as "high risk." This approach to measuring risk has been used by other researchers. ${ }^{30}$

Nationally, the percentage of high-risk children fell slightly, from 13 percent in 1990 to 12 percent in 2000. Nine states and the District of Columbia experienced an increase in the percentage of high-risk children, 13 states showed no change, and 28 showed a decrease. Minnesota showed the most improvement in the percentage of high-risk children (a 38 percent decrease), followed by South Dakota (a 36 percent decrease), Michigan (a 33 percent decrease), and Colorado (a 30 percent decrease). Alaska had the greatest increase in children at risk ( 57 percent), followed by Oregon ( 40 percent) and Rhode Island ( 23 percent).

Nationwide in 2000, there were 8.2 million high-risk children. Mississippi had the highest percentage of highrisk children ( 20 percent), and Minnesota, New Hampshire, and Utah had the lowest rates ( 5 percent each).

The Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS) is a special nationwide survey of 700,000 households that the U.S. Census Bureau conducted during calendar year 2000, using the questionnaire and methodology from the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS, when fully implemented, is designed to provide social, economic, and housing data for states and communities annually. Such local-area data currently are collected once every 10 years in the long form of the decennial census.

The year 2000 presented a special opportunity for the ACS. Having data from the ACS and the census in the same year allowed the U.S. Census Bureau to benchmark the ACS data against the decennial census. The Bureau conducted the C2SS to compare results for states and selected communities with data from the long form of the 2000 Census. The C2SS also was undertaken to demonstrate the operational feasibility of conducting the ACS at the same time as the decennial census.

However, the C2SS provides an additional benefit: For each state and for communities
of at least 250,000 people, it provides the first detailed data on social, economic, and housing characteristics since the 1990 Census-a full year before the U.S. Census Bureau releases official results for all states from the 2000 Census long form.

Results from the C2SS can be compared with 1990 Census results on many characteristics, allowing users to track trends over the decade. However, there are some important differences between the C2SS data and results from the census long form. First, unlike Census 2000, which has a specific reference date (population defined as of April 1), C2SS results reflect annual averages of monthly data. Second, the C2SS uses a "de facto," or "current," residence rule; persons are counted at a temporary residence if they are staying there for more than 2 months. By contrast, the census counts people at their "usual residence" as of the reference date.

Other differences between the two surveys include data collection elements (such as the way interviewers are trained and the nature of follow-up for nonresponse),
the population figures that are used as control totals, population coverage, weighting, and even the wording and design of some questions.

Assuming that it receives government funding, the U.S. Census Bureau will begin nationwide implementation of the ACS in 2003 by surveying about 3 million households annually. Beginning in mid2004, the public can expect to receive census-type data (annual social, economic, and housing characteristics) for every state and for communities of 65,000 or more. Later in the decade, the U.S. Census Bureau will provide estimates for smaller communities and population areas. These estimates also will be updated annually.

By 2010, when the ACS is scheduled to replace the census long form, demographic and socioeconomic information will be available yearly for every community in the nation. This increased availability of data for local communities will provide state and local decision-makers such as governors, mayors, and legislators with up-to-date information about their states and local areas. These data will be useful in guiding legislation and formulating social services and
other programs for constituents. The information also will be useful for policy analysts and scholars.

One of the purposes of this publication is to highlight the kinds of analyses that will be possible when the ACS becomes fully operational in 2003. The C2SS data used here show the kind of information that will be available every year, assuming that the ACS is fully implemented.

More information about the C2SS is available at www.census.gov/c2ss/www/. Details about the ACS are available at www.census.gov/ acs/www/.
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NATIONAL PROFILE

| Rank | State | \% Change | Rank | State $\%$ | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | -8 | 26 | Alabama | -6 |
| 1 | Minnesota | -38 | 26 | Arkansas | -6 |
| 2 | South Dakota | -36 | 26 | Kentucky | -6 |
| 3 | Michigan | -33 | 29 | Delaware | 0 |
| 4 | Colorado | -30 | 29 | Indiana | 0 |
| 5 | Maine | -20 | 29 | lowa | 0 |
| 5 | Nevada | -20 | 29 | Maryland | 0 |
| 5 | New Jersey | -20 | 29 | Missouri | 0 |
| 5 | Wisconsin | -20 | 29 | Nebraska | 0 |
| 9 | Texas | -19 | 29 | New Mexico | 0 |
| 10 | Montana | -18 | 29 | Oklahoma | 0 |
| 11 | New Hampshire | -17 | 29 | Pennsylvania | 0 |
| 11 | Utah | -17 | 29 | South Carolina | 0 |
| 13 | Lovisiana | -14 | 29 | Tennessee | 0 |
| 14 | Hawaii | -13 | 29 | Washington | 0 |
| 14 | Idaho | -13 | 29 | Wyoming | 0 |
| 14 | Kansas | -13 | 42 | Arizona | 7 |
| 14 | Mississippi | -13 | 42 | New York | 7 |
| 14 | Vermont | -13 | 44 | Massachusetts | 9 |
| 19 | Connecticut | -10 | 45 | West Virginia | 12 |
| 19 | Virginia | -10 | 46 | North Dakota | 13 |
| 21 | Illinois | -8 | 47 | North Carolina | 15 |
| 21 | Ohio | -8 | 48 | Rhode Island | 23 |
| 23 | California | -7 | 49 | Oregon | 40 |
| 23 | Florida | -7 | 50 | Alaska | 57 |
| 23 | Georgia | -7 | N.R. | District of Columbia | a 4 |



## United Stcites

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

Percent of children living
in "high-risk" families,
based on definition at right

*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.

## STATE PROFILES

## Alcabama

## kids count



> Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered at "high risk":
> - child lives in a family with income
> below the povertry line
> - child lives in a single-parent family
> - Child lives in a family where no parent
> has full-ime, yearr-round employment
> - Child lives with a household head who
> is a high school dropout

## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

Percent of children living
in "high-risk" families,
based on definition at right
2000


■ State Rate V/IN $_{\text {National Rate }}$
*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.
The Annie E. Casey Foundation
Children at Risk: State Trends 1990-2000

## Alaska


$\square$ State Rate W// National Rate

Family Risk Index Trend Data


## Arizona

## kids count



## Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

Percent of children living
in "high-risk" families,
based on definition at right
2000


## Arkensecs




## Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverity line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- child lives in a family where no parent
has fulltime, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## *See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.

## Calffornic

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000


■ State Rate V/WNational Rate

Family Risk Index
Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.

## kids count



*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102


■ State Rate V/W/ National Rate

Family Risk Index Trend Data

*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.

Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered at "high risk".

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives wihh a household head who
is a high school dropout


## District of columbic:

## kids count



## Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisisis are

 considered ot "high risk":- Child lives in a fanily wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-prerenf fanily
- chird lives in a family where no purent
has full-ime, year-round employment
- Child lives with a housshold head who
is a high school dropout


State Rate V/W/National Rate

Family Risk Index
Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000


Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives wihh a household head who
is a high school dropout


## *See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102



## Georgic

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000


State Rate V/WNational Rate

Family Risk Index
Trend Data


Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family with income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives wihh a household head who
is a high school dropout


## *See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102



## Ideho

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

The Annie E. Casey Foundation

Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered of "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
hos full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## Illinois

| Key Indicafors* |  | Trend Data Perent Change 1990-2000 |  |  | Key Indicators* |  | Trend Data |  |  |  | Percent Change 1990-2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of children living in poverty | 1990 2000 |  | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \text { STATE } \\ -6 \% \end{array}\right.$ | NATIONAL $-6 \%]$ | Percent of children living in households without a telephone | 1990 <br> 2000 | $7$ <br> 5 |  |  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \text { STATE } \\ -29 \% \end{array}\right.$ | national $-50 \%]$ |
| Percent of children living in single-parent families | 1990 2000 | $24$ <br> 31 | [ $29 \%$ | 25\% $]$ | Percent of children living in households without a vehicle | 1990 <br> 2000 |  |  |  |  | $[-27 \%$ | $-22 \%]$ |
| Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment | 1990 2000 | 28 <br> 27 | $[-4 \%$ | $-3 \%]$ | Percent of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) | 1990 <br> 2000 | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { WM/ } \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | [ $20 \%$ | 20\% $]$ |
| Percent of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout | 1990 2000 | $\frac{21}{17}$ | $[-19 \%$ | $-14 \%]$ | Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) | 1990 <br> 2000 |  |  |  |  | $[-18 \%$ | -8\% $]$ |
| Percent of children living in low-income working families | 1990 2000 | 16 <br> 19 | [ $19 \%$ | 16\% $]$ | Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) | 1990 <br> 2000 | 10 <br> 9 <br> 9 |  |  |  | $[-10 \%$ | -10\% $]$ |



## Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverity line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## *See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.

## Indiand

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

## lowa



State Rate V/IN National Rate $^{2}$

Family Risk Index
Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.

Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverity line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## Kensas

## kids count

| Key Indicators* | Trend Data |  | Pereent Change 1900-2000 |  | Key Indicators* | Trend Data |  |  |  |  | Pereent Change 1990-2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of children living in poverty | 1990 2000 |  | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \text { STATE } \\ -21 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { NATIONAL } \\ -6 \% \end{array}\right]$ | Percent of children living in households without a telephone | 1990 <br> 2000 | 6 4 4 4 |  |  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \text { SAATE } \\ -33 \% \end{array}\right.$ | NatoNal $-50 \%$ |
| Percent of children living in single-parent families | 1990 2000 |  | $[33 \%$ | 25\% $]$ | Percent of children living in households without a vehicle | 1990 2000 |  |  |  |  | $[-50 \%$ | $-22 \%]$ |
| Percent of children living in families where no parent has full--ime, year-round employment | 1990 2000 | 22 | $[-5 \%$ | $-3 \%]$ | Percent of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) | 1990 2000 |  |  |  |  | $[-50 \%$ | 20\% |
| Percent of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout | 1990 2000 |  | $[-23 \%$ | $-14 \%]$ | Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) |  |  |  |  |  | [ $11 \%$ | $-8 \%]$ |
| Percent of children living in low-income working families | 1990 2000 |  | $[9 \%$ | 16\% $]$ | Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) | 1990 <br> 2000 | $\frac{8}{5} \int_{5}^{8}$ |  |  |  | $[-38 \%$ | -10\% |

## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

Percent of children living
in "high-risk" families,
based on definition at right


## Kentucky



State Rate V/WINational Rate

Family Risk Index
Trend Data


## Louisianc

## kids count



- State Rate W// National Rate


## Family Risk Index

Trend Data

Percent of children living
in "high-risk" families,
based on definition at right


Percent Change 1990-2000


Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family with income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## Maine



State Rate V/IN National Rate $^{2}$

Family Risk Index
Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.

## Maryland

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

## Massachusetts



■ State Rate V/W/ National Rate

Family Risk Index Trend Data

Percent Change 1990-2000


## Michigan

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000


- State Rate V/WIN National Rate $^{2}$

Family Risk Index
Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.

## Mississippi

## kids count



> Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered at "high risk":
> - child lives in a family with income
> below the poverty line
> - Child lives in a single-parent family
> - Child lives in a family where no parent
> has full-ime, yearr-round employment
> - Child lives with a household head who
> is a high school dropout

## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

Percent of children living
in "high-risk" families,
based on definition at right
-

2000


State Rate V/IN National Rate $^{\text {a }}$
*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.

Children at Risk: State Trends 1990-2000

## Missouri




## Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverity line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## *See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102

## Montand

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

## Nebraska



State Rate V/IN National Rate $^{2}$

Family Risk Index
Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.

Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered at "high risk".

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives wihh a household head who
is a high school dropout


## Nevada

## kids count



> Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered at "high risk":
> - Child lives in a family with income
> below the poverty line
> - Child lives in a single-parent family
> - Child lives in a family where no parent
> has full-time, year-round employment
> - Child lives with a household head who
> is a high school dropout

## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

Percent of children living
in "high-risk" families,
based on definition at right
2000


■ State Rate V/IN National Rate $^{\text {n }}$
*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102
Children at Risk: State Trends 1990-2000


■ State Rate $\because / / /$ National Rate

Family Risk Index
Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000


Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has fül-time, year-round employment
- Child lives wihh a household head who
is a high school dropout


## *See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102



## New Jersey

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

## New Mexic॰




## Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverity line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## *See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102

## New York

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

The Annie E. Casey Foundation

Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered of "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## North Carolina




## Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## *See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000
*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102
■ State Rate V/IN $^{\text {National Rate }}$

The Annie E. Casey Foundation

Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered of "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout




## Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## *See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000


■ State Rate V/W/ National Rate

Family Risk Index Trend Data


## kids count



> Children living in families with three or more of the following charocterisisis ore considered ot "high risk":
> - Child lives in o family wihh income
> below the poverity line
> - Child lives in a single-preminf fanily
> - chird lives in a family where no parent
> has tul-ime, year-cound employment
> - Child lives wilh a household head who
> is a high school dropout

The Annie E. Casey Foundation
Children at Risk: State Trends 1990-2000

## Rhode Islend




## Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverity line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## *See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000




[^0]- Child lives in a single-parent family
- child lives in a fomily where no pareni
has fulltime, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout

Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered at "high risk":
constueren al ligh risk.

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

| Key Indicators* | Trend Data |  | Percent Change 1990-2000 |  | Key Indicators* |  | Trend Data |  |  |  | Percent Change 1990-2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of children living in poverty | 1990 2000 |  | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \text { STATE } \\ -8 \% \end{array}\right.$ | NATIONAL $-6 \%]$ | Percent of children living in households without a telephone | 1990 <br> 2000 | $13$ <br> 4 |  |  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \text { STATE } \\ -69 \% \end{array}\right.$ | NATIONAL $-50 \%]$ |
| Percent of children living in single-parent families | 1990 2000 |  | [ $30 \%$ | 25\% $]$ | Percent of children living in households without a vehicle |  | $7$ <br> 5 |  |  |  | $[-29 \%$ | $-22 \%]$ |
| Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment | 1990 2000 |  | $[-16 \%$ | -3\% $]$ | Percent of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) | 1990 <br> 2000 | 11 <br> 12 |  |  |  | $[9 \%$ | 20\% $]$ |
| Percent of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout | 1990 2000 | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 30 \\ \hline \\ \hline 28 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $[-7 \%$ | $-14 \%]$ | Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) | 1990 <br> 2000 | $13$ $13$ |  |  |  | [ $0 \%$ | $-8 \%]$ |
| Percent of children living in low-income working families | 1990 2000 | $\square$ | [ 21\% | 16\% $]$ | Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) | 1990 <br> 2000 | 11 <br> 11 <br> M. $11 / 2$ |  |  |  | [ $0 \%$ | $-10 \%]$ |



## Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverity line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## *See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102

## Utah

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.
$\square$ State Rate V/WN National Rate

## Vermont



■ State Rate V/W/ National Rate

Family Risk Index
Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.

Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered at "high risk".

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## Virginia

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

The Annie E. Casey Foundation

Children living in families with three or more of the following characterisics are considered of "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
hos full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


State Rate V/W/National Rate

Family Risk Index
Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000


## West Virginica

## kids count



*See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102.
■ State Rate V/IN $_{1}$ National Rate



## Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered at "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## *See Definitions and Data Sources, page 102

## Wyoming

## kids count



## Family Risk Index

Trend Data
Percent Change 1990-2000

The Annie E. Casey Foundation

Children living in families with three or more of the following characteristics are considered ot "high risk":

- Child lives in a family wihh income
below the poverty line
- Child lives in a single-parent family
- Child lives in a family where no parent
hos full-time, year-round employment
- Child lives with a household head who
is a high school dropout


## APPANDICES

## Appendix 1

## kids count

| Appendix 1 provic national and state estimates of the number of children at risk for each of the 11 indicators for 1990 and 2000. Figures have been rounded to the nea est 1,000 to improv readability and to avoid giving reade a false sense of precision. | Indicators | United States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1990 | 2000 |
|  | Number of children living in poverty | 11,239,000 | 12,379,000 |
|  | Number of children living in single-parent families | 14,779,000 | 21,229,000 |
|  | Number of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment | 17,632,000 | 19,101,000 |
|  | Number of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout | 13,995,000 | 13,499,000 |
|  | Number of children living in low-income working families | 10,832,000 | 14,176,000 |
|  | Number of children living in households without a telephone | 4,917,000 | 2,595,000 |
|  | Number of children living in households without a vehicle | 5,479,000 | 5,001,000 |
|  | Number of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) | 2,35,000 | 2,972,000 |
|  | Number of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) | 1,520,000 | 1,597,000 |
|  | Number of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) | 1,318,000 | 1,339,000 |
|  | Number of children living in "high-risk" families | 7,770,000 | 8,158,000 |


| Alabama |  | Alaska |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| 248,000 | 235,000 | 16,000 | 23,000 |
| 281,000 | 362,000 | 33,000 | 52,000 |
| 297,000 | 298,000 | 64,000 | 80,000 |
| 294,000 | 231,000 | 20,000 | 26,000 |
| 230,000 | 273,000 | 17,000 | 19,000 |
| 138,000 | 71,000 | 12,000 | 5,000 |
| 92,000 | 61,000 | 19,000 | 13,000 |
| 7,000 | 9,000 | 4,000 | 5,000 |
| 31,000 | 32,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| 27,000 | 29,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| 158,000 | 151,000 | 11,000 | 20,000 |


| Arizona |  | Arkansas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| 208,000 | 299,000 | 158,000 | 170,000 |
| 232,000 | 420,000 | 146,000 | 224,000 |
| 291,000 | 398,000 | 181,000 | 182,000 |
| 234,000 | 320,000 | 170,000 | 140,000 |
| 203,000 | 313,000 | 163,000 | 177,000 |
| 134,000 | 69,000 | 105,000 | 64,000 |
| 61,000 | 90,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| 59,000 | 92,000 | 4,000 | 8,000 |
| 30,00 | 54,000 | 16,000 | 17,000 |
| 24,000 | 38,000 | 15,000 | 17,000 |
| 129,000 | 191,000 | 91,000 | 96,000 |


| California |  | Colorado |  | Connecticut |  | Delaware |  | District of Columbia |  | Florida |  | Georgia |  | Hawaii |  | Idaho |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| 1,361,000 | 1,793,000 | 125,000 | 105,000 | 78,000 | 95,000 | 19,000 | 22,000 | 29,000 | 35,000 | 518,000 | 694,000 | 332,000 | 393,000 | 31,000 | 35,000 | 44,000 | 47,000 |
| 1,814,000 | 2,639,000 | 181,000 | 264,000 | 164,000 | 227,000 | 38,000 | 64,000 | 64,000 | 71,000 | 758,000 | 1,266,000 | 473,000 | 754,000 | 53,000 | 72,000 | 47,000 | 72,000 |
| 2,561,000 | 2,754,000 | 215,000 | 271,000 | 175,000 | 205,000 | 33,000 | 39,000 | 39,000 | 41,000 | 779,000 | 1,002,00 | 446,000 | 545,000 | 65,000 | 82,000 | 82,000 | 100,000 |
| 2,300,000 | 2,649,000 | 118,000 | 160,000 | 126,000 | 114,000 | 30,000 | 26,000 | 41,000 | 35,000 | 698,000 | 668,000 | 463,000 | 491,000 | 47,000 | 28,000 | 42,000 | 43,000 |
| 1,068,000 | 1,938,000 | 155,000 | 168,000 | 59,000 | 101,000 | 24,000 | 32,000 | 15,000 | 24,000 | 558,000 | 762,000 | 321,000 | 460,000 | 42,000 | 46,000 | 83,000 | 75,000 |
| 349,000 | 226,000 | 43,000 | 15,000 | 35,000 | 9,000 | 8,000 | 4,000 | 9,000 | 5,000 | 244,000 | 157,000 | 211,000 | 112,000 | 8,000 | 6,000 | 20,000 | 9,000 |
| 549,000 | 558,000 | 34,000 | 27,000 | 67,000 | 54,000 | 11,000 | 11,000 | 45,000 | 43,000 | 210,000 | 196,000 | 168,000 | 160,000 | 12,000 | 15,000 | 4,000 | 3,000 |
| 793,000 | 916,000 | 18,000 | 44,000 | 25,000 | 27,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 4,000 | 3,000 | 113,000 | 138,000 | 19,000 | 46,000 | 11,000 | 8,000 | 5,000 | 10,000 |
| 220,000 | 186,000 | 16,000 | 25,000 | 15,000 | 16,000 | 4,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 3,000 | 85,000 | 89,000 | 53,000 | 70,000 | 5,000 | 3,000 | 6,000 | 9,000 |
| 170,000 | 157,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 | 12,000 | 13,000 | 3,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 3,000 | 63,000 | 67,000 | 41,000 | 58,000 | 4,000 | 6,000 | 5,000 | 10,000 |
| 1,030,000 | 1,180,000 | 81,000 | 73,000 | 69,000 | 76,000 | 14,000 | 15,000 | 24,000 | 28,000 | 356,000 | 445,000 | 234,000 | 275,000 | 19,000 | 18,000 | 23,000 | 22,000 |

## Appendix 1
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| Indicators | United States |  | Illinois |  | Indiana |  | lowa |  | Kansas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| Number of children living in poverty | 11,239,000 | 12,379,000 | 493,000 | 508,000 | 205,000 | 226,000 | 101,000 | 98,000 | 92,000 | 78,000 |
| Number of children living in single-parent families | 14,779,000 | 21,229,000 | 706,000 | 974,000 | 298,000 | 434,000 | 117,000 | 171,000 | 118,000 | 169,000 |
| Number of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment | 17,632,000 | 19,101,000 | 177,000 | 803,000 | 335,000 | 390,000 | 152,000 | 153,000 | 142,000 | 143,000 |
| Number of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout | 13,995,000 | 13,499,000 | 617,000 | 537,000 | 273,000 | 290,000 | 77,000 | 65,000 | 85,000 | 69,000 |
| Number of children living in low-income working families | 10,832,000 | 14,176,000 | 415,000 | 537,000 | 282,000 | 321,000 | 163,000 | 154,000 | 145,000 | 163,000 |
| Number of children living in households without a telephone | 4,917,000 | 2,595,000 | 217,000 | 168,000 | 126,000 | 119,000 | 31,000 | 17,000 | 37,000 | 26,000 |
| Number of children living in households without a vehicle | 5,479,000 | 5,001,000 | 327,000 | 259,000 | 87,000 | 121,000 | 20,000 | 15,000 | 23,000 | 11,000 |
| Number of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) | 2,358,000 | 2,972,000 | 99,000 | 138,000 | 19,000 | 35,000 | 8,000 | 13,000 | 9,000 | 7,000 |
| Number of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) | 1,520,000 | 1,597,000 | 66,000 | 61,000 | 37,000 | 45,000 | 11,000 | 9,000 | 11,000 | 17,000 |
| Number of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) | 1,318,000 | 1,339,000 | 62,00 | 57,000 | 32,000 | 32,000 | 9,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 9,000 |
| Number of children living in "high-risk" families | 7,770,000 | 8,158,000 | 358,000 | 352,000 | 138,000 | 148,000 | 58,000 | 54,000 | 53,000 | 47,000 |


| Kentucky |  | Lovisiana |  | Maine |  | Maryland |  | Massachusetts |  | Michigan |  | Minnesota |  | Mississippi |  | Missouri |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| 226,000 | 211,000 | 374,000 | 334,000 | 43,000 | 35,000 | 126,000 | 177,000 | 172,000 | 207,000 | 444,000 | 365,000 | 147,000 | 117,000 | 243,000 | 194,000 | 222,000 | 224,000 |
| 199,000 | 263,000 | 381,000 | 468,000 | 59,000 | 71,000 | 293,000 | 419,000 | 302,000 | 416,000 | 623,000 | 794,000 | 194,000 | 255,000 | 243,000 | 310,000 | 286,000 | 429,000 |
| 300,000 | 311,000 | 436,000 | 374,000 | 81,000 | 73,000 | 237,000 | 269,000 | 372,000 | 390,000 | 757,000 | 694,000 | 277,000 | 252,000 | 256,000 | 239,000 | 333,000 | 351,000 |
| 265,000 | 200,000 | 363,000 | 257,000 | 39,000 | 31,000 | 201,000 | 174,000 | 233,000 | 186,000 | 429,000 | 297,000 | 107,000 | 100,000 | 259,000 | 186,000 | 233,000 | 219,000 |
| 200,000 | 214,000 | 251,000 | 293,000 | 56,000 | 63,000 | 120,000 | 212,000 | 123,000 | 176,000 | 324,000 | 417,000 | 185,000 | 191,000 | 177,000 | 173,000 | 261,000 | 325,000 |
| 135,000 | 50,000 | 139,000 | 54,000 | 13,000 | 1,000 | 52,000 | 23,000 | 41,000 | 20,000 | 133,000 | 84,000 | 32,000 | 25,000 | 136,000 | 59,000 | 98,000 | 63,000 |
| 67,000 | 42,000 | 176,000 | 124,000 | 11,000 | 4,000 | 130,000 | 124,000 | 129,000 | 119,000 | 210,000 | 105,000 | 47,000 | 46,000 | 87,000 | 52,000 | 83,000 | 64,000 |
| 7,000 | 8,000 | 17,000 | 10,000 | 2,000 | 3,000 | 22,000 | 27,000 | 52,000 | 56,000 | 25,000 | 38,000 | 18,000 | 37,000 | 6,000 | 3,000 | 13,000 | 12,000 |
| 28,000 | 25,000 | 32,000 | 32,00 | 6,000 | 3,000 | 25,000 | 30,000 | 27,000 | 22,000 | 54,000 | 52,000 | 14,000 | 18,000 | 21,000 | 27,000 | 33,000 | 34,000 |
| 28,000 | 26,000 | 36,000 | 41,000 | 5,000 | 2,000 | 21,000 | 23,000 | 25,000 | 18,000 | 52,000 | 42,000 | 12,00 | 8,000 | 21,000 | 20,000 | 28,000 | 27,000 |
| 147,000 | 136,000 | 248,000 | 207,000 | 29,000 | 23,000 | 98,000 | 105,000 | 146,000 | 167,000 | 339,000 | 249,000 | 93,000 | 61,000 | 154,000 | 138,000 | 141,000 | 143,000 |
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| Indicafors | United States |  | Montana |  | Nebraska |  | Nevada |  | New Hampshire |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| Number of children living in poverty | 11,239,000 | 12,379,000 | 45,000 | 43,000 | 58,000 | 50,000 | 39,000 | 62,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| Number of children living in single-parent families | 14,779,000 | 21,229,000 | 42,000 | 55,000 | 72,000 | 103,000 | 71,000 | 159,000 | 44,000 | 68,000 |
| Number of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment | 17,632,000 | 19,101,000 | 64,000 | 68,000 | 81,000 | 95,000 | 76,000 | 120,000 | 56,000 | 55,000 |
| Number of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout | 13,995,000 | 13,499,000 | 24,000 | 22,000 | 41,000 | 38,000 | 58,000 | 102,000 | 34,000 | 35,000 |
| Number of children living in low-income working families | 10,832,000 | 14,176,000 | 58,000 | 54,000 | 111,000 | 98,000 | 49,000 | 122,000 | 32,000 | 39,000 |
| Number of children living in households without a telephone | 4,917,000 | 2,595,000 | 17,000 | 6,000 | 19,000 | 12,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 11,000 | 2,000 |
| Number of children living in households without a vehicle | 5,479,000 | 5,001,000 | 5,000 | 4,000 | 11,000 | 9,000 | 15,000 | 25,000 | 7,000 | 5,000 |
| Number of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) | 2,358,000 | 2,972,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 3,000 | 10,000 | 9,000 | 36,000 | 2,000 | 3,000 |
| Number of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) | 1,520,000 | 1,597,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 6,000 | 7,000 | 8,000 | 12,000 | 5,000 | 6,000 |
| Number of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) | 1,318,000 | 1,339,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 5,000 | 6,000 | 7,000 | 12,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| Number of children living in "high-risk" families | 1,770,000 | 8,158,000 | 22,000 | 19,000 | 32,000 | 33,000 | 28,000 | 35,000 | 15,000 | 13,000 |


| New Jersey |  | New Mexico |  | New York |  | North Carolina |  | North Dakota |  | Ohio |  | Oklahoma |  | Oregon |  | Pennsylvania |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| 198,000 | 219,000 | 120,000 | 126,000 | 775,000 | 895,000 | 268,000 | 365,000 | 30,000 | 24,000 | 481,000 | 448,000 | 179,000 | 167,000 | 106,000 | 146,000 | 427,000 | 441,000 |
| 400,000 | 525,000 | 110,000 | 162,000 | 1,168,000 | 1,553,000 | 401,000 | 627,000 | 26,000 | 38,000 | 638,000 | 837,000 | 179,000 | 257,000 | 151,000 | 252,000 | 596,000 | 824,000 |
| 424,000 | 488,000 | 153,000 | 157,000 | 1,267,000 | 1,385,000 | 376,000 | 551,000 | 42,000 | 47,000 | 771,000 | 741,000 | 238,000 | 237,000 | 213,000 | 283,000 | 704,000 | 711,000 |
| 339,000 | 309,000 | 110,000 | 127,000 | 968,000 | 924,000 | 407,000 | 420,000 | 18,000 | 13,000 | 517,000 | 355,000 | 159,000 | 174,000 | 102,000 | 119,000 | 478,000 | 407,000 |
| 161,000 | 233,000 | 107,000 | 144,000 | 570,000 | 819,000 | 326,000 | 396,000 | 48,000 | 38,000 | 436,000 | 541,000 | 196,000 | 203,000 | 129,000 | 160,000 | 454,000 | 535,000 |
| 92,000 | 54,000 | 82,000 | 54,000 | 321,000 | 119,000 | 167,000 | 91,000 | 8,000 | 3,000 | 187,000 | 83,000 | 112,000 | 52,000 | 39,000 | 21,000 | 109,000 | 74,000 |
| 182,000 | 194,000 | 23,000 | 12,000 | 1,002,000 | 1,069,000 | 120,000 | 114,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 213,000 | 144,000 | 40,000 | 43,000 | 25,000 | 26,000 | 299,000 | 297,000 |
| 78,000 | 90,000 | 33,000 | 30,000 | 242,000 | 257,000 | 21,000 | 46,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 35,000 | 37,000 | 9,000 | 17,000 | 12,000 | 22,000 | 47,000 | 50,000 |
| 35,000 | 35,000 | 11,000 | 18,000 | 92,000 | 77,000 | 49,000 | 65,000 | 2,000 | 1,000 | 55,000 | 58,000 | 19,000 | 28,000 | 16,000 | 20,000 | 60,000 | 45,000 |
| 32,000 | 28,000 | 10,000 | 13,000 | 90,000 | 83,000 | 36,000 | 37,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 56,000 | 45,000 | 18,000 | 21,000 | 13,000 | 19,000 | 57,000 | 42,000 |
| 163,000 | 156,000 | 74,000 | 83,000 | 597,000 | 668,000 | 189,000 | 271,000 | 14,000 | 15,000 | 352,000 | 313,000 | 104,000 | 107,000 | 68,000 | 109,000 | 294,000 | 304,000 |
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| Indicators | United States |  | Rhode Island |  | South Carolina |  | South Dakota |  | Tennessee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| Number of children living in poverty | 11,239,000 | 12,379,000 | 31,000 | 43,000 | 188,000 | 199,000 | 41,000 | 28,000 | 253,000 | 277,000 |
| Number of children living in single-parent families | 14,779,000 | 21,229,000 | 52,000 | 79,000 | 256,000 | 341,000 | 35,000 | 43,000 | 300,000 | 435,000 |
| Number of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment | 17,632,000 | 19,101,000 | 65,000 | 75,000 | 231,000 | 258,000 | 45,000 | 40,000 | 330,000 | 379,000 |
| Number of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout | 13,995,000 | 13,499,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 265,000 | 200,000 | 26,000 | 14,000 | 325,000 | 252,000 |
| Number of children living in low-income working families | 10,832,000 | 14,176,000 | 28,000 | 33,000 | 197,000 | 226,000 | 62,000 | 49,000 | 246,000 | 287,000 |
| Number of children living in households without a telephone | 4,917,000 | 2,595,000 | 11,000 | 7,000 | 122,000 | 54,000 | 18,000 | 4,000 | 122,000 | 71,000 |
| Number of children living in households without a vehicle | 5,479,000 | 5,001,000 | 14,000 | 19,000 | 96,000 | 79,000 | 8,000 | 3,000 | 92,000 | 65,000 |
| Number of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) | 2,358,000 | 2,972,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 | 8,000 | 13,000 | 2,000 | 3,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| Number of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) | 1,520,000 | 1,597,000 | 6,000 | 5,000 | 25,000 | 32,000 | 3,000 | 4,000 | 38,000 | 33,000 |
| Number of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) | 1,318,000 | 1,339,000 | 5,000 | 4,000 | 21,000 | 28,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 32,000 | 32,000 |
| Number of children living in "high-risk" families | 1,770,000 | 8,158,000 | 28,000 | 38,000 | 125,000 | 139,000 | 20,000 | 14,000 | 171,000 | 189,000 |


| Texas |  | Utah |  | Vermont |  | Virginia |  | Washington |  | West Virginia |  | Wisconsin |  | Wyoming |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| 1,146,000 | 1,250,000 | 80,000 | 74,000 | 16,000 | 18,000 | 196,000 | 224,000 | 172,000 | 251,000 | 113,000 | 110,000 | 182,000 | 160,000 | 19,000 | 19,000 |
| 1,082,000 | 1,705,000 | 83,000 | 129,000 | 28,000 | 34,000 | 322,000 | 460,000 | 259,000 | 398,000 | 85,000 | 113,000 | 252,000 | 340,000 | 23,000 | 32,000 |
| 1,428,000 | 1,486,000 | 139,000 | 144,000 | 34,000 | 36,000 | 323,000 | 368,000 | 376,000 | 454,000 | 167,000 | 143,000 | 311,000 | 306,000 | 35,000 | 36,000 |
| 1,442,000 | 1,672,000 | 58,000 | 62,000 | 20,000 | 11,000 | 309,000 | 230,000 | 166,000 | 175,000 | 117,000 | 82,000 | 185,000 | 151,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 |
| 1,032,000 | 1,499,000 | 157,000 | 132,000 | 24,000 | 27,000 | 236,000 | 310,000 | 185,000 | 255,000 | 89,000 | 91,000 | 228,000 | 228,000 | 30,000 | 29,000 |
| 636,000 | 261,000 | 21,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 1,000 | 119,000 | 55,000 | 51,000 | 18,000 | 65,000 | 27,000 | 49,000 | 34,000 | 8,000 | 5,000 |
| 336,000 | 292,000 | 12,000 | 13,000 | 5,000 | 4,000 | 103,000 | 84,000 | 42,000 | 52,000 | 33,000 | 22,000 | 76,000 | 61,000 | 3,000 | 2,000 |
| 386,000 | 510,000 | 9,000 | 18,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 23,000 | 28,000 | 31,000 | 57,000 | 3,000 | 1,000 | 20,000 | 26,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 128,000 | 159,000 | 10,000 | 12,000 | 3,000 | 2,000 | 33,000 | 30,000 | 27,000 | 28,000 | 12,000 | 8,000 | 19,000 | 18,000 | 2,000 | 3,000 |
| 109,000 | 128,000 | 8,000 | 15,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 27,000 | 25,000 | 22,000 | 24,000 | 14,000 | 11,000 | 15,000 | 18,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 716,000 | 712,000 | 38,000 | 35,000 | 11,000 | 10,000 | 140,000 | 144,000 | 120,000 | 150,000 | 73,000 | 70,000 | 124,000 | 97,000 | 11,000 | 11,000 |

## kids count

Appendix 2 provides
national and state estimates of the percentage of children at risk for each of the 11 indicators. These percentages were used to calculate percent change from 1990 to 2000 shown in Appendix 3. The percentages were rounded to the nearest whole percent to improve readability and to avoid giving readers a false sense of precision.

| Indicators | United States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 2000 |
| Percent of children living in poverty | 18 | 17 |
| Percent of children living in single-parent families | 24 | 30 |
| Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment | 29 | 28 |
| Percent of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout | 22 | 19 |
| Percent of children living in low-income working families | 19 | 22 |
| Percent of children living in households without a telephone | 8 | 4 |
| Percent of children living in households without a vehicle | 9 | 7 |
| Percent of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) | 5 | 6 |
| Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) | 12 | 11 |
| Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) | 10 | 9 |
| Percent of children living in "high-risk" families | 13 | 12 |


| Alabama |  | Alaska |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| 24 | 21 | 10 | 13 |
| 27 | 33 | 20 | 29 |
| 30 | 28 | 39 | 43 |
| 28 | 21 | 11 | 14 |
| 25 | 28 | 11 | 11 |
| 13 | 6 | 7 | 2 |
| 9 | 5 | 11 | 7 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 13 | 14 | 10 | 8 |
| 11 | 12 | 11 | 8 |
| 16 | 15 | 7 | 11 |


| Arizona |  | Arkansas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| 22 | 23 | 26 | 25 |
| 24 | 32 | 24 | 33 |
| 32 | 31 | 31 | 28 |
| 24 | 24 | 28 | 20 |
| 23 | 26 | 29 | 29 |
| 14 | 5 | 17 | 9 |
| 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 19 | 12 | 11 |
| 12 | 14 | 11 | 11 |
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 15 |


| California |  | Colorado |  | Connecticut |  | Delaware |  | District of Columbia |  | Florida |  | Georgia |  | Hawaii |  | Idaho |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| 18 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 26 | 31 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 14 |
| 24 | 29 | 21 | 25 | 22 | 27 | 24 | 34 | 57 | 63 | 27 | 36 | 28 | 36 | 19 | 25 | 15 | 21 |
| 36 | 32 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 25 | 22 | 22 | 42 | 40 | 29 | 30 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 31 | 28 | 29 |
| 30 | 29 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 14 | 19 | 13 | 36 | 30 | 24 | 18 | 27 | 23 | 17 | 10 | 14 | 12 |
| 16 | 24 | 19 | 17 | 9 | 13 | 16 | 19 | 18 | 27 | 22 | 24 | 21 | 25 | 18 | 19 | 29 | 23 |
| 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 3 |
| 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 39 | 37 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 14 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| 14 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 18 | 13 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| 11 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 17 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 10 |
| 15 | 14 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 27 | 28 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
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| Indicators | United States |  | Illinois |  | Indiana |  | lowa |  | Kansas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| Percent of children living in poverty | 18 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 11 |
| Percent of children living in single-parent families | 24 | 30 | 24 | 31 | 21 | 28 | 17 | 24 | 18 | 24 |
| Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment | 29 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 24 | 26 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 21 |
| Percent of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout | 22 | 19 | 21 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 11 | 9 | 13 | 10 |
| Percent of children living in low-income working families | 19 | 22 | 16 | 19 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 25 |
| Percent of children living in households without a telephone | 8 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| Percent of children living in households without a vehicle | 9 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Percent of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) | 12 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 10 |
| Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 5 |
| Percent of children living in "high-risk" families | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 |


| Kentucky |  | Lovisiana |  | Maine |  | Maryland |  | Massachusetts |  | Michigan |  | Minnesota |  | Mississippi |  | Missouri |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| 24 | 22 | 31 | 28 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 33 | 26 | 17 | 16 |
| 21 | 27 | 32 | 40 | 20 | 24 | 26 | 32 | 23 | 28 | 26 | 32 | 17 | 20 | 33 | 41 | 22 | 31 |
| 33 | 33 | 39 | 33 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 21 | 29 | 27 | 32 | 28 | 24 | 20 | 38 | 33 | 27 | 26 |
| 28 | 20 | 30 | 21 | 13 | 10 | 17 | 13 | 17 | 12 | 18 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 35 | 24 | 18 | 15 |
| ${ }^{23}$ | 24 | ${ }^{23}$ | 28 | 19 | 22 | 12 | 18 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 28 | 26 | 22 | 25 |
| 14 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 4 | $\text { less than } 0.5$ | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 18 | 8 | 8 | 4 |
| 7 | 4 | 14 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 4 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 16 | 12 | 11 |
| 14 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 9 |
| 16 | 15 | 22 | 19 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 23 | 20 | 11 | 11 |
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| Indicators | United States |  | Montana |  | Nebraska |  | Nevada |  | New Hampshire |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| Percent of children living in poverty | 18 | 17 | 21 | 19 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 8 | 7 |
| Percent of children living in single-parent families | 24 | 30 | 19 | 25 | 17 | 24 | 25 | 32 | 16 | 23 |
| Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment | 29 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 19 | 22 | 27 | 25 | 21 | 19 |
| Percent of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout | 22 | 19 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 20 | 20 | 12 | 11 |
| Percent of children living in low-income working families | 19 | 22 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 19 | 27 | 12 | 14 |
| Percent of children living in households without a telephone | 8 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| Percent of children living in households without a vehicle | 9 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Percent of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) | 12 | 11 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 9 |
| Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) | 10 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Percent of children living in "high-risk" families | 13 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 5 |


| New Jersey |  | New Mexico |  | New York |  | North Carolina |  | North Dakota |  | Ohio |  | Oklahoma |  | Oregon |  | Pennsylvania |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| 11 | 11 | 27 | 26 | 19 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 22 | 19 | 15 | 18 | 16 | 15 |
| 23 | 26 | 25 | 33 | 28 | 34 | 25 | 33 | 15 | 24 | 23 | 30 | 22 | 30 | 21 | 31 | 22 | 29 |
| 25 | 25 | 37 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 25 | 30 | 24 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 35 | 27 | 25 |
| 19 | 15 | 25 | 26 | 23 | 20 | 25 | 21 | 10 | 8 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 20 | 14 | 14 | 17 | 14 |
| 10 | 12 | 27 | 33 | 15 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 28 | 25 | 17 | 21 | 26 | 26 | 19 | 21 | 18 | 20 |
| 5 | 3 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 10 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 24 | 23 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 10 |
| 6 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 9 | 9 | 13 | 17 | 10 | 8 | 14 | 17 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 7 |
| 8 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 7 |
| 10 | 8 | 18 | 18 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 14 | 11 | 11 |
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| Indicators | United States |  | Rhode Island |  | South Carolina |  | South Dakota |  | Tennessee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| Percent of children living in poverty | 18 | 17 | 14 | 17 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 14 | 21 | 20 |
| Percent of children living in single-parent families | 24 | 30 | 23 | 32 | 28 | 34 | 18 | 22 | 25 | 32 |
| Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment | 29 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 27 | 23 | 20 | 29 | 29 |
| Percent of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout | 22 | 19 | 22 | 20 | 29 | 20 | 13 | 7 | 27 | 18 |
| Percent of children living in low-income working families | 19 | 22 | 13 | 15 | 25 | 26 | 33 | 26 | 23 | 23 |
| Percent of children living in households without a telephone | 8 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 10 | 5 |
| Percent of children living in households without a vehicle | 9 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 5 |
| Percent of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) | 12 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 14 | 11 |
| Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) | 10 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 11 |
| Percent of children living in "high-risk" families | 13 | 12 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 15 |


| Texas |  | Utah |  | Vermont |  | Virginia |  | Washington |  | West Virginia |  | Wisconsin |  | Wyoming |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 |
| 24 | 22 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 26 | 28 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 15 |
| 23 | 30 | 13 | 18 | 20 | 24 | 22 | 27 | 21 | 27 | 20 | 29 | 20 | 26 | 18 | 25 |
| 32 | 27 | 23 | 21 | 25 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 31 | 31 | 39 | 38 | 25 | 23 | 26 | 29 |
| 30 | 28 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 21 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 26 | 20 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| 24 | 29 | 26 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 16 | 18 | 22 | 26 | 19 | 18 | 23 | 25 |
| 13 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 4 |
| 7 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 11 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { less than } \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | 13 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| 11 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 14 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
| 16 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 17 | 19 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
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Appendix 3 presents states ranked on the basis of percent change from 1990 to 2000 for 10 of the 11 measures used in the state pages. Data for the District of Columbia are shown here, but the District is not ranked.

Data are presented for each of the 11 indicators except "Children living in low-income working families." States are not ranked on this measure because it is uncertain whether an increase over time is a positive or a negative trend. See page 15 for more discussion on this issue.

Children living in poverty

| Rank | Stote | \% Change | Rank | Stote $\quad \%$ | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | -6 | 25 | South Carolina | -5 |
| 1 | Colorado | -33 | 25 | Tennessee | -5 |
| 1 | South Dakota | -33 | 28 | Arkansas | -4 |
| 3 | Minnesota | -31 | 28 | New Mexico | -4 |
| 4 | Michigan | -22 | 30 | Connecticut | 0 |
| 5 | Kansas | -21 | 30 | Delaware | 0 |
| 5 | Mississippi | -21 | 30 | lowa | 0 |
| 5 | Nebraska | -21 | 30 | New Jersey | 0 |
| 8 | Utah | -15 | 30 | Virginia | 0 |
| 9 | Maine | -14 | 35 | Arizona | 5 |
| 9 | Oklahoma | -14 | 35 | New York | 5 |
| 9 | Wisconsin | -14 | 37 | Indiana | 7 |
| 12 | Alabama | -13 | 37 | Wyoming | 7 |
| 12 | New Hampshire | -13 | 39 | Massachusetts | 8 |
| 14 | North Dakota | -12 | 39 | West Virginia | 8 |
| 15 | Louisiana | -10 | 41 | Hawaii | 9 |
| 15 | Montana | -10 | 41 | Vermont | 9 |
| 17 | Kentucky | -8 | 43 | California | 11 |
| 17 | Texas | -8 | 43 | Florida | 11 |
| 19 | Idaho | -7 | 45 | North Carolina | 12 |
| 19 | Nevada | -7 | 46 | Maryland | 18 |
| 21 | Illinois | -6 | 47 | Oregon | 20 |
| 21 | Missouri | -6 | 48 | Rhode Island | 21 |
| 21 | Ohio | -6 | 48 | Washington | 21 |
| 21 | Pennsylvania | -6 | 50 | Alaska | 30 |
| 25 | Georgia | -5 | N.R. | District of Columbia | - 19 |

Children living in single-parent families

| Rank | State | \% Change | Rank | State $\quad$ \% | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | 25 | 24 | Wisconsin | 30 |
| 1 | New Jersey | 13 | 27 | Hawaii | 32 |
| 2 | Minnesota | 18 | 27 | Montana | 32 |
| 3 | Colorado | 19 | 27 | New Mexico | 32 |
| 4 | Maine | 20 | 27 | North Carolina | 32 |
| 4 | Vermont | 20 | 27 | Pennsylvania | 32 |
| 6 | California | 21 | 32 | Arizona | 33 |
| 6 | New York | 21 | 32 | Florida | 33 |
| 6 | South Carolina | 21 | 32 | Indiana | 33 |
| 9 | Alabama | 22 | 32 | Kansas | 33 |
| 9 | Massachusetts | 22 | 36 | Oklahoma | 36 |
| 9 | South Dakota | 22 | 37 | Arkansas | 38 |
| 12 | Connecticut | 23 | 37 | Utah | 38 |
| 12 | Maryland | 23 | 39 | Rhode Island | 39 |
| 12 | Michigan | 23 | 39 | Wyoming | 39 |
| 12 | Virginia | 23 | 41 | Idaho | 40 |
| 16 | Mississippi | 24 | 42 | lowa | 41 |
| 17 | Lovisiana | 25 | 42 | Missouri | 41 |
| 18 | Nevada | 28 | 42 | Nebraska | 41 |
| 18 | Tennessee | 28 | 45 | Delaware | 42 |
| 20 | Georgia | 29 | 46 | New Hampshire | 44 |
| 20 | Illinois | 29 | 47 | Alaska | 45 |
| 20 | Kentucky | 29 | 47 | West Virginia | 45 |
| 20 | Washington | 29 | 49 | Oregon | 48 |
| 24 | Ohio | 30 | 50 | North Dakota | 60 |
| 24 | Texas | 30 | N.R. | District of Columbia | 11 |

Children living in families where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment

| Rank | Stote | \% Change | Rank | State $\quad \%$ | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | -3 | 25 | Oklahoma | -3 |
| 1 | Minnesota | -17 | 25 | West Virginia | -3 |
| 2 | Texas | -16 | 28 | Colorado | 0 |
| 3 | Lovisiana | -15 | 28 | Delaware | 0 |
| 4 | Michigan | -13 | 28 | lowa | 0 |
| 4 | Mississippi | -13 | 28 | Kentucky | 0 |
| 4 | South Dakota | -13 | 28 | New Jersey | 0 |
| 7 | California | -11 | 28 | New York | 0 |
| 7 | New Mexico | -11 | 28 | Tennessee | 0 |
| 9 | Arkansas | -10 | 28 | Vermont | 0 |
| 9 | New Hampshire | -10 | 28 | Virginia | 0 |
| 11 | Utah | -9 | 28 | Washington | 0 |
| 12 | Wisconsin | -8 | 38 | Florida | 3 |
| 13 | Alabama | -7 | 38 | Montana | 3 |
| 13 | Maine | -7 | 38 | Rhode Island | 3 |
| 13 | Massachusetts | -7 | 41 | Connecticut | 4 |
| 13 | Nevada | -7 | 41 | Idaho | 4 |
| 13 | Ohio | -7 | 43 | Indiana | 8 |
| 13 | Pennsylvania | -7 | 44 | Alaska | 10 |
| 19 | Kansas | -5 | 45 | Wyoming | 12 |
| 19 | Maryland | -5 | 46 | Oregon | 13 |
| 21 | Georgia | -4 | 47 | Nebraska | 16 |
| 21 | Illinois | -4 | 48 | Hawaii | 19 |
| 21 | Missouri | -4 | 49 | North Carolina | 20 |
| 21 | South Carolina | -4 | 50 | North Dakota | 21 |
| 25 | Arizona | -3 | N.R. | District of Columbia | a -5 |

Children living with a household head who is a high school dropout

| Rank | State | \% Change | Rank | State $\quad \%$ | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | -14 | 25 | lowa | -18 |
| 1 | South Dakota | -46 | 25 | Pennsylvania | -18 |
| 2 | Vermont | -43 | 28 | Missouri | -17 |
| 3 | Hawaii | -41 | 29 | North Carolina | -16 |
| 4 | Michigan | -39 | 30 | Georgia | -15 |
| 5 | Virginia | -38 | 31 | Idaho | -14 |
| 6 | Ohio | -37 | 32 | New York | -13 |
| 7 | Tennessee | -33 | 33 | Minnesota | -11 |
| 8 | Delaware | -32 | 34 | Nebraska | -10 |
| 9 | Mississippi | -31 | 35 | Montana | -9 |
| 9 | South Carolina | -31 | 35 | Rhode Island | -9 |
| 11 | Lovisiana | -30 | 37 | New Hampshire | -8 |
| 12 | Arkansas | -29 | 37 | Washington | -8 |
| 12 | Kentucky | -29 | 39 | Texas | -7 |
| 12 | Massachusetts | -29 | 40 | California | -3 |
| 15 | Alabama | -25 | 41 | Arizona | 0 |
| 15 | Florida | -25 | 41 | Indiana | 0 |
| 17 | Maryland | -24 | 41 | Nevada | 0 |
| 18 | Kansas | -23 | 41 | Oregon | 0 |
| 18 | Maine | -23 | 41 | Utah | 0 |
| 18 | West Virginia | -23 | 46 | New Mexico | 4 |
| 21 | New Jersey | -21 | 47 | Oklahoma | 5 |
| 21 | Wisconsin | -21 | 48 | Colorado | 7 |
| 23 | North Dakota | -20 | 49 | Wyoming | 10 |
| 24 | Illinois | -19 | 50 | Alaska | 27 |
| 25 | Connecticut | -18 | N.R. | District of Columbia | a -17 |

Children living in households without a telephone

| Rank | Stote | \% Change | Rank | Stote | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | -50 | 25 | Mississippi | -56 |
| 1 | Maine | -100 | 27 | Alabama | -54 |
| 2 | Colorado | -80 | 27 | Oklahoma | -54 |
| 2 | Connecticut | -80 | 29 | West Virginia | -53 |
| 2 | Vermont | -80 | 30 | lowa | -50 |
| 5 | South Dakota | -78 | 30 | Maryland | -50 |
| 6 | New Hampshire | -75 | 30 | Missouri | -50 |
| 6 | Washington | -75 | 30 | North Carolina | -50 |
| 8 | Alaska | -71 | 30 | North Dakota | -50 |
| 9 | Texas | -69 | 30 | Tennessee | -50 |
| 10 | Massachusetts | -67 | 36 | Arkansas | -47 |
| 10 | Utah | -67 | 37 | New Mexico | -42 |
| 12 | Arizona | -64 | 38 | Michigan | -40 |
| 12 | Kentucky | -64 | 38 | Nevada | -40 |
| 12 | Lovisiana | -64 | 38 | New Jersey | -40 |
| 15 | Montana | -63 | 38 | Rhode Island | -40 |
| 15 | New York | -63 | 42 | Hawaii | -33 |
| 15 | Virginia | -63 | 42 | Kansas | -33 |
| 18 | South Carolina | -62 | 42 | Minnesota | -33 |
| 19 | California | -60 | 42 | Wyoming | -33 |
| 19 | Delaware | -60 | 46 | Illinois | -29 |
| 19 | Oregon | -60 | 47 | Nebraska | -25 |
| 22 | Georgia | -58 | 47 | Pennsylvania | -25 |
| 23 | Idaho | -57 | 47 | Wisconsin | -25 |
| 23 | Ohio | -57 | 50 | Indiana | -11 |
| 25 | Florida | -56 | N.R. | District of Columbia | a -50 |
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Children living in households without a vehicle

| Rank | Stote | \% Change | Rank | State $\quad \%$ | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | -22 | 26 | North Carolina | -25 |
| 1 | South Dakota | -75 | 26 | Oregon | -25 |
| 2 | Maine | -67 | 28 | Massachusetts | -20 |
| 3 | New Mexico | -60 | 28 | South Carolina | -20 |
| 4 | Michigan | -56 | 30 | Maryland | -18 |
| 5 | Colorado | -50 | 31 | California | -14 |
| 5 | Kansas | -50 | 31 | Delaware | -14 |
| 5 | Vermont | -50 | 33 | New Jersey | -10 |
| 8 | Alabama | -44 | 34 | Pennsylvania | -9 |
| 9 | Kentucky | -43 | 35 | New York | -4 |
| 10 | Mississippi | -42 | 36 | Arkansas | 0 |
| 11 | Ohio | -38 | 36 | Idaho | 0 |
| 11 | Tennessee | -38 | 36 | Minnesota | 0 |
| 11 | West Virginia | -38 | 36 | Montana | 0 |
| 14 | Alaska | -36 | 36 | Nevada | 0 |
| 15 | Connecticut | -33 | 36 | New Hampshire | 0 |
| 15 | lowa | -33 | 36 | North Dakota | 0 |
| 15 | Missouri | -33 | 36 | Oklahoma | 0 |
| 15 | Nebraska | -33 | 36 | Utah | 0 |
| 15 | Wisconsin | -33 | 36 | Washington | 0 |
| 20 | Georgia | -30 | 36 | Wyoming | 0 |
| 21 | Florida | -29 | 47 | Arizona | 17 |
| 21 | Lovisiana | -29 | 47 | Rhode Island | 17 |
| 21 | Texas | -29 | 49 | Hawaii | 25 |
| 21 | Virginia | -29 | 50 | Indiana | 33 |
| 25 | Illinois | -27 | N.R. | District of Columbia | -5 |

Children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17)

| Rank | State | \% Change | Rank | State $\quad$ \% | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | 20 | 12 | North Dakota | 0 |
| 1 | West Virginia | -100 | 12 | Ohio | 0 |
| 2 | Delaware | -50 | 12 | Pennsylvania | 0 |
| 2 | Kansas | -50 | 12 | Tennessee | 0 |
| 2 | Louisiana | -50 | 12 | Vermont | 0 |
| 5 | Hawaii | -33 | 12 | Virginia | 0 |
| 6 | Connecticut | -20 | 12 | Wyoming | 0 |
| 6 | New Mexico | -20 | 33 | Texas | 9 |
| 8 | Florida | -17 | 34 | Illinois | 20 |
| 8 | Massachusetts | -17 | 35 | Washington | 25 |
| 8 | Rhode Island | -17 | 36 | Georgia | 50 |
| 11 | California | -7 | 36 | Indiana | 50 |
| 12 | Alabama | 0 | 36 | North Carolina | 50 |
| 12 | Alaska | 0 | 36 | Utah | 50 |
| 12 | Arizona | 0 | 36 | Wisconsin | 50 |
| 12 | Arkansas | 0 | 41 | Colorado | 100 |
| 12 | lowa | 0 | 41 | Idaho | 100 |
| 12 | Kentucky | 0 | 41 | Michigan | 100 |
| 12 | Maine | 0 | 41 | Minnesota | 100 |
| 12 | Maryland | 0 | 41 | Nevada | 100 |
| 12 | Mississippi | 0 | 41 | Oregon | 100 |
| 12 | Missouri | 0 | 41 | South Carolina | 100 |
| 12 | Montana | 0 | 41 | South Dakota | 100 |
| 12 | New Hampshire | 0 | 49 | Nebraska | 200 |
| 12 | New Jersey | 0 | 49 | Oklahoma | 200 |
| 12 | New York | 0 | N.R. | District of Columbia | a -20 |

Teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19)

| Rank | Stote | \% Change | Rank | State \% | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | -8 | 25 | Missouri | -8 |
| 1 | Hawaii | -44 | 25 | Oregon | -8 |
| 1 | Maine | -44 | 28 | Delaware | 0 |
| 3 | North Dakota | -40 | 28 | Idaho | 0 |
| 4 | Vermont | -33 | 28 | Michigan | 0 |
| 5 | Pennsylvania | -30 | 28 | Montana | 0 |
| 6 | California | -29 | 28 | New Hampshire | 0 |
| 7 | lowa | -25 | 28 | New Jersey | 0 |
| 7 | West Virginia | -25 | 28 | Texas | 0 |
| 7 | Wisconsin | -25 | 35 | Alabama | 8 |
| 10 | Rhode Island | -23 | 35 | South Carolina | 8 |
| 11 | Utah | -22 | 37 | Maryland | 9 |
| 12 | Tennessee | -21 | 38 | Connecticut | 10 |
| 13 | Alaska | -20 | 39 | Kansas | 11 |
| 13 | New York | -20 | 39 | Ohio | 11 |
| 15 | Illinois | -18 | 41 | Georgia | 14 |
| 15 | Virginia | -18 | 42 | Indiana | 17 |
| 15 | Washington | -18 | 42 | Minnesota | 17 |
| 18 | Lovisiana | -15 | 44 | Oklahoma | 18 |
| 19 | Florida | -14 | 45 | Colorado | 20 |
| 19 | Kentucky | -14 | 46 | North Carolina | 21 |
| 21 | Nebraska | -13 | 47 | Mississippi | 23 |
| 21 | Nevada | -13 | 48 | Wyoming | 25 |
| 23 | Massachusetts | -11 | 49 | Arizona | 27 |
| 23 | South Dakota | -11 | 50 | New Mexico | 31 |
| 25 | Arkansas | -8 | N.R. | District of Columbia | a -28 |

Teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19)

| Rank | State | \% Change | Rank | State $\quad \%$ | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | -10 | 25 | New York | -10 |
| 1 | Maine | -63 | 27 | Oklahoma | -9 |
| 2 | Minnesota | -50 | 28 | Mississippi | -8 |
| 3 | Kansas | -38 | 28 | Tennessee | -8 |
| 4 | Massachusetts | -33 | 30 | Arkansas | 0 |
| 5 | Pennsylvania | -30 | 30 | Delaware | 0 |
| 5 | Rhode Island | -30 | 30 | Indiana | 0 |
| 7 | Alaska | -27 | 30 | Maryland | 0 |
| 7 | California | -27 | 30 | Montana | 0 |
| 9 | Wyoming | -25 | 30 | Nebraska | 0 |
| 10 | Colorado | -22 | 30 | New Mexico | 0 |
| 10 | Virginia | -22 | 30 | North Carolina | 0 |
| 10 | Washington | -22 | 30 | Texas | 0 |
| 13 | Michigan | -20 | 30 | Wisconsin | 0 |
| 13 | North Dakota | -20 | 40 | Lovisiana | 7 |
| 13 | Ohio | -20 | 41 | Nevada | 8 |
| 16 | Florida | -18 | 42 | Alabama | 9 |
| 16 | Missouri | -18 | 43 | Oregon | 10 |
| 18 | lowa | -14 | 44 | Connecticut | 13 |
| 18 | Kentucky | -14 | 45 | Arizona | 17 |
| 18 | New Hampshire | -14 | 46 | Georgia | 18 |
| 18 | South Dakota | -14 | 47 | South Carolina | 20 |
| 18 | West Virginia | -14 | 48 | Hawaii | 25 |
| 23 | New Jersey | -13 | 48 | Idaho | 25 |
| 23 | Vermont | -13 | 50 | Utah | 29 |
| 25 | Illinois | -10 | N.R. | District of Columbia | a -29 |
|  |  |  | N.R. | $=$ Not Ranked |  |

Children living in "high risk" families

| Rank | State | \% Change | Rank | State $\quad \%$ | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | -8 | 26 | Alabama | -6 |
| 1 | Minnesota | -38 | 26 | Arkansas | -6 |
| 2 | South Dakota | -36 | 26 | Kentucky | -6 |
| 3 | Michigan | -33 | 29 | Delaware | 0 |
| 4 | Colorado | -30 | 29 | Indiana | 0 |
| 5 | Maine | -20 | 29 | lowa | 0 |
| 5 | Nevada | -20 | 29 | Maryland | 0 |
| 5 | New Jersey | -20 | 29 | Missouri | 0 |
| 5 | Wisconsin | -20 | 29 | Nebraska | 0 |
| 9 | Texas | -19 | 29 | New Mexico | 0 |
| 10 | Montana | -18 | 29 | Oklahoma | 0 |
| 11 | New Hampshire | -17 | 29 | Pennsylvania | 0 |
| 11 | Utah | -17 | 29 | South Carolina | 0 |
| 13 | Lovisiana | -14 | 29 | Tennessee | 0 |
| 14 | Hawaii | -13 | 29 | Washington | 0 |
| 14 | Idaho | -13 | 29 | Wyoming | 0 |
| 14 | Kansas | -13 | 42 | Arizona | 7 |
| 14 | Mississippi | -13 | 42 | New York | 7 |
| 14 | Vermont | -13 | 44 | Massachusetts | 9 |
| 19 | Connecticut | -10 | 45 | West Virginia | 12 |
| 19 | Virginia | -10 | 46 | North Dakota | 13 |
| 21 | Illinois | -8 | 47 | North Carolina | 15 |
| 21 | Ohio | -8 | 48 | Rhode Island | 23 |
| 23 | California | -7 | 49 | Oregon | 40 |
| 23 | Florida | -7 | 50 | Alaska | 57 |
| 23 | Georgia | -7 | N.R. | District of Columbia | a 4 |

Appendix 4 presents states ranked on the basis of the percentage of children at risk in 2000 for 10 of the 11 measures used in the state pages. Data for the District of Columbia are shown here, but the District is not ranked.

Data are presented for each of the 11 indicators except "Children living in low-income working families." States are not ranked on this measure because it is uncertain whether a relatively high percentage is a positive or a negative outcome. See page 15 for more discussion on this issue.

| Children living in poverty |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | State | Percent | Rank | State | Percent |
|  | United States | 17 | 23 | Wyoming | 15 |
| 1 | New Hampshire | 7 | 27 | Illinois | 16 |
| 2 | Minnesota | 9 | 27 | Missouri | 16 |
| 3 | Colorado | 10 | 27 | Ohio | 16 |
| 4 | Connecticut | 11 | 30 | Rhode Island | 17 |
| 4 | Kansas | 11 | 30 | Washington | 17 |
| 4 | Nebraska | 11 | 32 | Oregon | 18 |
| 4 | New Jersey | 11 | 33 | Georgia | 19 |
| 4 | Utah | 11 | 33 | Montana | 19 |
| 9 | Delaware | 12 | 33 | North Carolina | 19 |
| 9 | Hawaii | 12 | 33 | Oklahoma | 19 |
| 9 | Maine | 12 | 37 | California | 20 |
| 9 | Vermont | 12 | 37 | Florida | 20 |
| 9 | Wisconsin | 12 | 37 | New York | 20 |
| 14 | Alaska | 13 | 37 | South Carolina | 20 |
| 14 | Maryland | 13 | 37 | Tennessee | 20 |
| 14 | Nevada | 13 | 42 | Alabama | 21 |
| 14 | Virginia | 13 | 43 | Kentucky | 22 |
| 18 | Idaho | 14 | 43 | Texas | 22 |
| 18 | lowa | 14 | 45 | Arizona | 23 |
| 18 | Massachusetts | 14 | 46 | Arkansas | 25 |
| 18 | Michigan | 14 | 47 | Mississippi | 26 |
| 18 | South Dakota | 14 | 47 | New Mexico | 26 |
| 23 | Indiana | 15 | 49 | Louisiana | 28 |
| 23 | North Dakota | 15 | 49 | West Virginia | 28 |
| 23 | Pennsylvania | 15 | N.R. | District of Columbia | 31 |

Children living in single-parent families

| Rank | Stote | Percent | Rank | Stote | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | 30 | 24 | Pennsylvania | 29 |
| 1 | Utah | 18 | 24 | West Virginia | 29 |
| 2 | Minnesota | 20 | 28 | Ohio | 30 |
| 3 | Idaho | 21 | 28 | Oklahoma | 30 |
| 4 | South Dakota | 22 | 28 | Texas | 30 |
| 5 | New Hampshire | 23 | 31 | Illinois | 31 |
| 6 | lowa | 24 | 31 | Missouri | 31 |
| 6 | Kansas | 24 | 31 | Oregon | 31 |
| 6 | Maine | 24 | 34 | Arizona | 32 |
| 6 | Nebraska | 24 | 34 | Maryland | 32 |
| 6 | North Dakota | 24 | 34 | Michigan | 32 |
| 6 | Vermont | 24 | 34 | Nevada | 32 |
| 12 | Colorado | 25 | 34 | Rhode Island | 32 |
| 12 | Hawaii | 25 | 34 | Tennessee | 32 |
| 12 | Montana | 25 | 40 | Alabama | 33 |
| 12 | Wyoming | 25 | 40 | Arkansas | 33 |
| 16 | New Jersey | 26 | 40 | New Mexico | 33 |
| 16 | Wisconsin | 26 | 40 | North Carolina | 33 |
| 18 | Connecticut | 27 | 44 | Delaware | 34 |
| 18 | Kentucky | 27 | 44 | New York | 34 |
| 18 | Virginia | 27 | 44 | South Carolina | 34 |
| 18 | Washington | 27 | 47 | Florida | 36 |
| 22 | Indiana | 28 | 47 | Georgia | 36 |
| 22 | Massachusetts | 28 | 49 | Lovisiana | 40 |
| 24 | Alaska | 29 | 50 | Mississippi | 41 |
| 24 | California | 29 | N.R. | District of Columbia | 63 |

Children living in families where no parent
has full-time, year-round employment

| Rank | Stote | Percent | Rank | Stote | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | 28 | 21 | Texas | 27 |
| 1 | New Hampshire | 19 | 27 | Alabama | 28 |
| 2 | Minnesota | 20 | 27 | Arkansas | 28 |
| 2 | South Dakota | 20 | 27 | Michigan | 28 |
| 4 | Kansas | 21 | 30 | Idaho | 29 |
| 4 | Maryland | 21 | 30 | North Dakota | 29 |
| 4 | Utah | 21 | 30 | Oklahoma | 29 |
| 7 | Delaware | 22 | 30 | Tennessee | 29 |
| 7 | lowa | 22 | 30 | Wyoming | 29 |
| 7 | Nebraska | 22 | 35 | Florida | 30 |
| 10 | Virginia | 23 | 35 | North Carolina | 30 |
| 10 | Wisconsin | 23 | 37 | Arizona | 31 |
| 12 | Connecticut | 25 | 37 | Hawaii | 31 |
| 12 | Maine | 25 | 37 | Montana | 31 |
| 12 | Nevada | 25 | 37 | Rhode Island | 31 |
| 12 | New Jersey | 25 | 37 | Washington | 31 |
| 12 | Pennsylvania | 25 | 42 | California | 32 |
| 12 | Vermont | 25 | 42 | New York | 32 |
| 18 | Colorado | 26 | 44 | Kentucky | 33 |
| 18 | Indiana | 26 | 44 | Lovisiana | 33 |
| 18 | Missouri | 26 | 44 | Mississippi | 33 |
| 21 | Georgia | 27 | 44 | New Mexico | 33 |
| 21 | Illinois | 27 | 48 | Oregon | 35 |
| 21 | Massachusetts | 27 | 49 | West Virginia | 38 |
| 21 | Ohio | 27 | 50 | Alaska | 43 |
| 21 | South Carolina | 27 | N.R. | District of Columbia | 40 |

Children living with a household head who is a high school dropout

| Rank | Stote | Percent | Rank | State | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | 19 | 23 | Pennsylvania | 14 |
| 1 | South Dakota | 7 | 27 | Colorado | 15 |
| 2 | Minnesota | 8 | 27 | Missouri | 15 |
| 2 | North Dakota | 8 | 27 | New Jersey | 15 |
| 2 | Vermont | 8 | 30 | Illinois | 17 |
| 5 | lowa | 9 | 31 | Florida | 18 |
| 5 | Nebraska | 9 | 31 | Tennessee | 18 |
| 5 | Utah | 9 | 33 | Indiana | 19 |
| 8 | Hawaii | 10 | 34 | Arkansas | 20 |
| 8 | Kansus | 10 | 34 | Kentucky | 20 |
| 8 | Maine | 10 | 34 | Nevada | 20 |
| 8 | Montana | 10 | 34 | New York | 20 |
| 12 | Michigan | 11 | 34 | Oklahoma | 20 |
| 12 | New Hampshire | 11 | 34 | Rhode Island | 20 |
| 12 | Wisconsin | 11 | 34 | South Carolina | 20 |
| 12 | Wyoming | 11 | 34 | West Virginia | 20 |
| 16 | Idaho | 12 | 42 | Alabama | 21 |
| 16 | Massachusetts | 12 | 42 | Lovisiana | 21 |
| 16 | Ohio | 12 | 42 | North Carolina | 21 |
| 16 | Washington | 12 | 45 | Georgia | 23 |
| 20 | Delaware | 13 | 46 | Arizona | 24 |
| 20 | Maryland | 13 | 46 | Mississippi | 24 |
| 20 | Virginia | 13 | 48 | New Mexico | 26 |
| 23 | Alaska | 14 | 49 | Texas | 28 |
| 23 | Connecticut | 14 | 50 | California | 29 |
| 23 | Oregon | 14 | N.R. | District of Columbia | 30 |

Children living in households without a telephone

| Rank | Stote | Percent | Rank | Stote | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | 4 | 19 | Ohio | 3 |
| 1 | Maine les | less than 0.5 | 19 | Pennsylvania | 3 |
| 2 | Colorado | 1 | 19 | Rhode Island | 3 |
| 2 | Connecticut | 1 | 19 | Virginia | 3 |
| 2 | Massachusetts | 1 | 19 | Wisconsin | 3 |
| 2 | New Hampshire | 1 | 31 | Florida | 4 |
| 2 | Utah | 1 | 31 | Kansas | 4 |
| 2 | Vermont | 1 | 31 | Lovisiana | 4 |
| 2 | Washington | 1 | 31 | Missouri | 4 |
| 9 | Alaska | 2 | 31 | Texas | 4 |
| 9 | California | 2 | 31 | Wyoming | 4 |
| 9 | Delaware | 2 | 37 | Arizona | 5 |
| 9 | Hawaii | 2 | 37 | Georgia | 5 |
| 9 | lowa | 2 | 37 | Illinois | 5 |
| 9 | Maryland | 2 | 37 | Kentucky | 5 |
| 9 | Minnesota | 2 | 37 | North Carolina | 5 |
| 9 | North Dakota | 2 | 37 | South Carolina | 5 |
| 9 | Oregon | 2 | 37 | Tennessee | 5 |
| 9 | South Dakota | 2 | 44 | Alabama | 6 |
| 19 | Idaho | 3 | 44 | Oklahoma | 6 |
| 19 | Michigan | 3 | 46 | West Virginia | 7 |
| 19 | Montana | 3 | 47 | Indiana | 8 |
| 19 | Nebraska | 3 | 47 | Mississippi | 8 |
| 19 | Nevada | 3 | 49 | Arkansas | 9 |
| 19 | New Jersey | 3 | 50 | New Mexico | 11 |
| 19 | New York | 3 | N.R. | District of Columbia | 4 |

Children living in households without a vehicle

| Rank | Stote | Percent | Rank | Stote | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | 7 | 22 | Ohio | 5 |
| 1 | Idaho | 1 | 22 | Oklahoma | 5 |
| 1 | Maine | 1 | 22 | Tennessee | 5 |
| 1 | South Dakota | 1 | 22 | Texas | 5 |
| 4 | Colorado | 2 | 22 | Virginia | 5 |
| 4 | lowa | 2 | 22 | West Virginia | 5 |
| 4 | Kansas | 2 | 32 | California | 6 |
| 4 | Montana | 2 | 32 | Connecticut | 6 |
| 4 | Nebraska | 2 | 32 | Delaware | 6 |
| 4 | New Hampshire | 2 | 32 | North Carolina | 6 |
| 4 | New Mexico | 2 | 36 | Alaska | 7 |
| 4 | North Dakota | 2 | 36 | Arizona | 7 |
| 4 | Utah | 2 | 36 | Arkansas | 7 |
| 4 | Vermont | 2 | 36 | Georgia | 7 |
| 4 | Wyoming | 2 | 36 | Mississippi | 7 |
| 15 | Oregon | 3 | 36 | Rhode Island | 7 |
| 15 | Washington | 3 | 42 | Illinois | 8 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 4 | 42 | Indiana | 8 |
| 17 | Michigan | 4 | 42 | Massachusetts | 8 |
| 17 | Minnesota | 4 | 42 | South Carolina | 8 |
| 17 | Missouri | 4 | 46 | Maryland | 9 |
| 17 | Wisconsin | 4 | 46 | New Jersey | 9 |
| 22 | Alabama | 5 | 48 | Lovisiana | 10 |
| 22 | Florida | 5 | 48 | Pennsylvania | 10 |
| 22 | Hawaii | 5 | 50 | New York | 23 |
| 22 | Nevada | 5 | N.R. | District of Columbia | 37 |

Children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17)

| Rank | State | Percent | Rank | Stote | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | 6 | 24 | Indiana | 3 |
| 1 | West Virginia | less than 0.5 | 24 | Maryland | 3 |
| 2 | Alabama | 1 | 24 | Nebraska | 3 |
| 2 | Arkansas | 1 | 24 | North Carolina | 3 |
| 2 | Delaware | 1 | 24 | Oklahoma | 3 |
| 2 | Kansas | 1 | 24 | Utah | 3 |
| 2 | Kentucky | 1 | 24 | Wisconsin | 3 |
| 2 | Louisiana | 1 | 33 | Connecticut | 4 |
| 2 | Maine | 1 | 33 | Hawaii | 4 |
| 2 | Mississippi | 1 | 33 | Idaho | 4 |
| 2 | Missouri | 1 | 33 | Minnesota | 4 |
| 2 | Montana | 1 | 33 | Oregon | 4 |
| 2 | New Hampshire | e 1 | 38 | Florida | 5 |
| 2 | North Dakota | 1 | 38 | Massachusetts | 5 |
| 2 | Tennessee | 1 | 38 | Rhode Island | 5 |
| 2 | Vermont | 1 | 38 | Washington | 5 |
| 2 | Wyoming | 1 | 42 | Colorado | 6 |
| 17 | lowa | 2 | 42 | Illinois | 6 |
| 17 | Michigan | 2 | 42 | New Jersey | 6 |
| 17 | Ohio | 2 | 45 | New Mexico | 8 |
| 17 | Pennsylvania | 2 | 45 | New York | 8 |
| 17 | South Carolina | 2 | 47 | Arizona | 9 |
| 17 | South Dakota | 2 | 48 | Nevada | 10 |
| 17 | Virginia | 2 | 49 | Texas | 12 |
| 24 | Alaska | 3 | 50 | California | 14 |
| 24 | Georgia | 3 | N.R. | District of Columbia | 4 |

Teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19)

| Rank | Stote | Percent | Rank | Stote | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | 11 | 22 | Ohio | 10 |
| 1 | North Dakota | 3 | 22 | Rhode Island | 10 |
| 2 | Hawaii | 5 | 22 | Wyoming | 10 |
| 2 | Maine | 5 | 29 | Arkansas | 11 |
| 4 | lowa | 6 | 29 | Connecticut | 11 |
| 4 | Vermont | 6 | 29 | Louisiana | 11 |
| 4 | Wisconsin | 6 | 29 | Missouri | 11 |
| 7 | Minnesota | 7 | 29 | Oregon | 11 |
| 7 | Montana | 7 | 29 | Tennessee | 11 |
| 7 | Nebraska | 7 | 35 | Colorado | 12 |
| 7 | Pennsylvania | 7 | 35 | Delaware | 12 |
| 7 | Utah | 7 | 35 | Florida | 12 |
| 12 | Alaska | 8 | 35 | Kentucky | 12 |
| 12 | Massachusetts | 8 | 35 | Maryland | 12 |
| 12 | New York | 8 | 40 | Nevada | 13 |
| 12 | South Dakota | 8 | 40 | Oklahoma | 13 |
| 16 | Illinois | 9 | 40 | Texas | 13 |
| 16 | New Hampshire | 9 | 43 | Alabama | 14 |
| 16 | New Jersey | 9 | 43 | Indiana | 14 |
| 16 | Virginia | 9 | 43 | South Carolina | 14 |
| 16 | Washington | 9 | 46 | Georgia | 16 |
| 16 | West Virginia | 9 | 46 | Mississippi | 16 |
| 22 | California | 10 | 48 | New Mexico | 17 |
| 22 | Idaho | 10 | 48 | North Carolina | 17 |
| 22 | Kansas | 10 | 50 | Arizona | 19 |
| 22 | Michigan | 10 | N.R. | District of Columbia | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19)

| Rank | Stote | Percent | Rank | State | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | 9 | 24 | Florida | 9 |
| 1 | Maine | 3 | 24 | Illinois | 9 |
| 1 | Minnesota | 3 | 24 | Maryland | 9 |
| 3 | North Dakota | 4 | 24 | Missouri | 9 |
| 4 | Kansas | 5 | 24 | New York | 9 |
| 5 | lowa | 6 | 24 | Utah | 9 |
| 5 | Massachusetts | 6 | 32 | Hawaii | 10 |
| 5 | Nebraska | 6 | 32 | Idaho | 10 |
| 5 | New Hampshire | 6 | 32 | Indiana | 10 |
| 5 | South Dakota | 6 | 32 | North Carolina | 10 |
| 5 | Wisconsin | 6 | 32 | Oklahoma | 10 |
| 5 | Wyoming | 6 | 37 | Arkansas | 11 |
| 12 | Colorado | 7 | 37 | Oregon | 11 |
| 12 | Montana | 7 | 37 | Tennessee | 11 |
| 12 | New Jersey | 7 | 37 | Texas | 11 |
| 12 | Pennsylvania | 7 | 41 | Alabama | 12 |
| 12 | Rhode Island | 7 | 41 | Kentucky | 12 |
| 12 | Vermont | 7 | 41 | Mississippi | 12 |
| 12 | Virginia | 7 | 41 | New Mexico | 12 |
| 12 | Washington | 7 | 41 | South Carolina | 12 |
| 20 | Alaska | 8 | 41 | West Virginia | 12 |
| 20 | California | 8 | 47 | Georgia | 13 |
| 20 | Michigan | 8 | 47 | Nevada | 13 |
| 20 | Ohio | 8 | 49 | Arizona | 14 |
| 24 | Connecticut | 9 | 50 | Lovisiana | 15 |
| 24 | Delaware | 9 | N.R. | District of Columbia | 12 |

Children living in "high risk" families

| Rank | State | Percent | Rank | Stote | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | 12 | 26 | Alaska | 11 |
| 1 | Minnesota | 5 | 26 | Missouri | 11 |
| 1 | New Hampshire | 5 | 26 | Pennsylvania | 11 |
| 1 | Utah | 5 | 29 | Illinois | 12 |
| 4 | Colorado | 7 | 29 | Massachusetts | 12 |
| 4 | Hawaii | 7 | 29 | Ohio | 12 |
| 4 | Idaho | 7 | 32 | Florida | 13 |
| 4 | Kansas | 7 | 32 | Oklahoma | 13 |
| 4 | South Dakota | 7 | 32 | Texas | 13 |
| 4 | Vermont | 7 | 35 | California | 14 |
| 10 | lowa | 8 | 35 | Georgia | 14 |
| 10 | Maine | 8 | 35 | Oregon | 14 |
| 10 | Nebraska | 8 | 38 | Alabama | 15 |
| 10 | Nevada | 8 | 38 | Arizona | 15 |
| 10 | New Jersey | 8 | 38 | Arkansas | 15 |
| 10 | Wisconsin | 8 | 38 | Kentucky | 15 |
| 16 | Connecticut | 9 | 38 | North Carolina | 15 |
| 16 | Delaware | 9 | 38 | South Carolina | 15 |
| 16 | Maryland | 9 | 38 | Tennessee | 15 |
| 16 | Montana | 9 | 45 | New York | 16 |
| 16 | North Dakota | 9 | 45 | Rhode Island | 16 |
| 16 | Virginia | 9 | 47 | New Mexico | 18 |
| 16 | Wyoming | 9 | 48 | Lovisiana | 19 |
| 23 | Indiana | 10 | 48 | West Virginia | 19 |
| 23 | Michigan | 10 | 50 | Mississippi | 20 |
| 23 | Washington | 10 | N.R. | District of Columbia | 28 |

## kids count

Percent of children living in poverty is the share of children under age 18 who live in families with incomes below the U.S. poverty threshold, as defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget. Poverty thresholds vary by family size and composition. In 2000, the poverty threshold for a family of two adults and two children was $\$ 17,463$. Poverty status is not determined for people in military barracks or institutional quarters, or for unrelated individuals under age 15 (such as foster children). The 1990 Census data on poverty are based on family income in 1989, while the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS) data are based on income received in the 12 months prior to the survey.

The poverty thresholds are revised annually, using the Consumer Price Index to reflect changes in the cost of living. The poverty thresholds are the same for all parts of the country; that is, they are not adjusted for regional, state, or local variations in the cost of living. The poverty level is based on money income and does not include noncash benefits, such as food stamps. For a detailed discussion of the poverty definition, see U.S Census Bureau, "Poverty in the United States: 2000," Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 214.

Because C2SS data were collected only for people residing in households, the data presented here for both 1990 and 2000 exclude people living in group quarters. SOURCES: 1990 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, 1990

Census 5 Percent Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS). 2000 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS).

Percent of children living in single-parent families is the percentage of related children under age 18 living in households headed by a person-male or female-without a spouse present in the home. "Related children" are children under 18 years old who are related to the household head by birth, marriage, or adoption. This includes relations such as nieces and nephews who are related to the household head. Related children of the household head also include married children under age 18 living in the same household. Foster children are not considered related children.
SOURCES: 1990 data: U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census, Summary Tape File 3, Table P126. 2000 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS).

Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment is the share of children under age 18 living in families where no parent works at least 35 hours per week, 50 weeks per year. For children living in single-parent
families, this means the resident parent did not work at least 35 hours per week, at least 50 weeks in the previous year. For children living in married-couple families, this means neither parent worked at least 35 hours per week, at least 50 weeks in the previous year. Children living with neither parent also are included in this category. Children living in subfamilies are excluded from the tabulations for both years because we lack 2000 data on parental work status. (A subfamily is a married couple with or without children under 18 years old, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years old, living in a household where they are related to the household head.)

The 1990 Census data on work status are based on employment in the previous calendar year, while the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey data are based on employment in the 12 months prior to the survey.

This measure is very similar to the measure called "Secure Parental Employment," used by the Federal Interagency Forum on Child and Family statistics in its publication America's Children: Key National Indicators of Well-Being.
SOURCES: 1990 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census 5 Percent Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS). 2000 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS).

Percent of children living with a household head who is a high school dropout is the share of children under age 18 living in households where the household head has not graduated from high school. High school dropouts are identified as those who did not receive a high school diploma or an equivalent (such as a GED).

We use the educational attainment of the household head because this measure is closely related to the general education level in a household. Also, the measure could be applied to all children, regardless of their living arrangements.

We use the term "household head" here, although the U.S. Census Bureau commonly uses the term "householder" to identify this individual. The household head, or householder, is usually the person in whose name the home is owned or rented If there is no such person in the household, then any adult household member age 15 or older could be designated as the householder.
SOURCES: 1990 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census 5 Percent Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS). 2000 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS).

## kids count

Percent of children living in low-income working families is the share of own children under age 18 living in families that met two criteria, one defined by family income and the other, by parental work effort. First, the family income was less than twice the federal poverty level. We use the "200 percent of poverty" threshold for this measure because it is a cutoff point commonly used to identify low-income families. For example, the U.S. Census Bureau uses 200 percent of poverty as a key threshold in their annual poverty reports, and the Urban Institute uses this level in many of their New Federalism reports. Furthermore, people with family incomes between 100 percent and 200 percent of poverty are eligible for many government means-tested assistance programs-for example, the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC), many of the new State Child Health Insurance Programs (SCHIPs), and food stamps.

Second, at least one parent worked 50 or more weeks during the previous year. Researchers have used a wide range of employment thresholds to identify low-income working families-from any work during the year to full-time, year-round employment. Some analysts actually have combined the hours worked by all adults in the household to determine work effort. The annual KIDS COUNT Data Books have used 50 weeks of work to identify low-income working families, a practice continued in this analysis. We should
note that the definition used here includes people who worked part-time as well as full-time. However, the vast majority of people who worked at least 50 weeks during the year worked full-time ( 35 or more hours a week). We also should note that relative to the thresholds used by other researchers to identify low-income working families, the work requirement used here is relatively stringent. Use of a less stringent work threshold would add many more low-income children to the figures shown here.

An "own child" is a never-married child under age 18 who is a son or daughter by birth, a stepchild, or an adopted child of the household head.

The 1990 Census data on work status and income are based on employment and family income in the previous calendar year, while the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey data are based on employment and income in the 12 months prior to the survey.
SOURCES: 1990 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census 5 Percent Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS). 2000 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS).

Percent of children living in households without a telephone is the share of children under age 18 living in households without a telephone in their home at
the time of the interview. In the 1990 Census, respondents were asked whether there was a telephone in the house or apartment. In the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS), respondents were asked whether there was a telephone in the house and, specifically, whether this telephone was in working order and with service allowing the respondent to both make and receive calls. These questions differ slightly, but the U.S. Census Bureau reports that C2SS data on telephone availability can be compared with 1990 Census data, provided that data users understand the differences in the questionnaires and use caution. For more information about comparability of 1990 and 2000 data, visit the U.S. Census Bureau's website at www.census.gov/c2ss/ www/Products/KnownDiff.htm.
SOURCES: 1990 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census 5 Percent Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS). $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS).

Percent of children living in households without a vehicle is the share of children under age 18 living in households without a vehicle at the time of the interview. Vehicles include passenger cars, vans, and trucks kept at home and available for use by household members.

SOURCES: 1990 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census 5 Percent Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS). 2000 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS).

Percent of children who have difficulty speaking English (ages 5-17) is the share of children ages 5 to 17 who speak a language other than English at home and speak English less than "very well." This includes children who speak English "well," "not well," or "not at all." Questionnaire responses on the ability to speak English represent either the person's own perception of his or her English-language ability or, in the case of most children, the perception of another household member.

This definition is used by the U.S. Census Bureau based on previous research that assessed the validity of the self-reported English-ability question. The U.S. Census Bureau conducted the English Language Proficiency Survey in 1982. Results of that survey showed a strong correlation between the responses on the English-ability question and the test scores from a more comprehensive exam. Those persons who spoke a language other than English at home and reported an English-speaking ability of "very well" passed at a rate similar to English-only persons. People who reported
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less than "very well" (e.g., "well," "not well," or "not at all") had significantly higher rates of failure. Therefore, the number of people who reported speaking English less than "very well" is considered a reasonably good measure of the number of persons of limited English proficiency. This measure also is used in the America's Cbildren report of the Federal Interagency Forum on Child and Family Statistics. For more information on the America's Cbildren report, visit the National Center for Health Statistics at nces.ed.gov/pubs/ce/c9704e01.html

Because Census 2000 Supplementary Survey data were collected only for people residing in households, the data presented here for both 1990 and 2000 exclude people living in group quarters.
SOURCES: 1990 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census 5 Percent Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS). 2000 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS).

Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16-19) is the share of teens between ages 16 and 19 who are not enrolled in school and are not high school graduates. Those persons who have a GED or equivalent are included as high school graduates in this measure. The measure used here is defined as a "status dropout" rate by the National Center for Education

Statistics (NCES) as shown in their publication Dropout Rates in the United States: 2000 (p. 2). For the measure presented here, we focus on teens ages 16 to 19 rather than young adults ages 16 to 24 (which is the focus of Dropout Rates), because a large share of 18 - to 24-yearolds migrate across state lines each year, confounding the connection between state policies and programs and state dropout rates.

The 1990 Census and the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS) used different time references in measuring school enrollment. The 1990 Census asked if the individual had attended regular school or college at any time since February 1, 1990 (that is, 2 months prior to the census reference date of April 1). The C2SS asked if the person had attended a school or college at any time during the 3 months prior to the survey. The 3month reference period in the C2SS is expected to pick up spring enrollment for persons interviewed during the summer months.

Because C2SS data were collected only for people residing in households, the data presented here for both 1990 and 2000 exclude people living in group quarters. SOURCES: 1990 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census 5 Percent Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS). 2000 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS).

Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16-19) is the share of teens between ages 16 and 19 who are not enrolled in school (full- or part-time) and not employed (full- or part-time). This measure is sometimes referred to as "idle teens" or "disconnected youth."

The 1990 Census and the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS) used different time references in measuring school enrollment. The 1990 Census asked if the individual had attended regular school or college at any time since February 1, 1990 (that is, 2 months prior to the census reference date of April 1). The C2SS asked if the person had attended a school or college at any time during the 3 months prior to the survey. The 3-month reference period in the C2SS is expected to pick up spring enrollment for persons interviewed during the summer months.

Because C2SS data were collected only for people residing in households, the data presented here for both 1990 and 2000 exclude people living in group quarters.
SOURCES: 1990 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census 5 Percent Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS). 2000 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS).

Percent of children living in "high-risk" families is based on a family risk index, which reflects four separate measures of vulnerability. The index is constructed by noting which of four risk factors are present in a child's life, then cumulating the total number of these factors for that child. Children living in families with three or more risk factors are categorized as "high risk." The risk factors are as follows:

## - Child lives in a family with income below the poverty line <br> - Child lives in a single-parent family <br> - Child lives in a family where no parent has full-time, year-round employment <br> - Child lives with a household head who is a high school dropout

Children living in subfamilies are excluded from the tabulations for both years because we lack 2000 data on parental work status. A subfamily is a married couple with or without children under 18 years old, or one parent with one or more children under 18 years old, living in a household and related to the household head. SOURCES: 1990 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census 5 Percent Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS). 2000 data: Population Reference Bureau, tabulations of data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS).

## kids count

The Annie E. Casey Foundation funds a nationwide network of KIDS COUNT projects that provide a more detailed, community-by-community picture of the condition of children.

## Alabama <br> VOICES for Alabama's Children <br> Arizona

Apreill Curtis
Coordinator of
Policy and Programs
PO Box 4576
Montgomery, AL 36103
(334) 213-2410
(334) 213-2413 (fax)
acurtis@alavoices.org
www.alavoices.org

## Alaska

Virgene Hanna
KIDS COUNT Alaska
University of Alaska-
Anchorage Institute of Social and Economic Research
3211 Providence Dr.
Anchorage, AK 99508
(907) 786-7706
(907) 786-7739 (fax)
afngd@uaa.alaska.edu
www.kidscount.alaska.edu

Dana Naimark
Assistant Director

Children's Action Alliance
4001 North 3rd St.
Suite 160
Phoenix, AZ 85012
(602) 266-0707
(602) 263-8792 (fax)
dnaimark@azchildren.org
www.azchildren.org

## Arkansas

Amy Rossi
Executive Director
Children \& Families
523 S Louisiana
Suite 700
Little Rock, AR 72201-4531
(501) 371-9678
(501) 371-9681 (fax)
amyrossi@swbell.net
www.aradvocates.org

# Primary Contacts for State KIDS COUNT Projects 

| California | Children Now | Delaware | University of Delaware |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amy Dominguez- | 1212 Broadway | Teresa Schooley | 298K Graham Hall |
| Arms | Suite 530 | KIDS COUNT | Newark, DE 19716 |
| Vice President | Oakland, CA 94612 | Project Director | (302) 831-4966 |
|  | (510) 763-2444 |  | (302) 831-4987 (fax) |
|  | (510) 763-1974 (fax) |  | terrys@udel.edu |
|  | ada@childrennow.org |  | www.dekidscount.org |
|  | www.childrennow.org |  |  |
| Colorado | Colorado Children's Campaign | District of Columbia | DC Children's Trust Fund |
| Kaye Boeke | 225 E 16th Ave. | Sonali Patel | 2021 L St. NW |
| KIDS COUNT | Suite B-300 | Director of | Suite 205 |
| Coordinator | Denver, CO 80203 | Public Policy | Washington, DC 20036-4960 |
|  | (303) 839-1580 ext. 232 |  | (202) 624-5555 |
|  | (303) 839-1354 (fax) |  | (202) 624-0396 (fax) |
|  | kaye@coloradokids.org |  | spatel@dcctforg |
|  | www.coloradokids.org |  | www.dcetf.org |
| Connecticut | Connecticut Association for | Florida | Center for the Study of |
| Amy Sampson | Human Services | Susan Weitzel | Children's Futures |
| KIDS COUNT | 110 Bartholomew Ave. | Director | Louis de la Parte Florida Mental Health |
| Coordinator | Suite 4030 |  | Institute, University of South Florida |
|  | Hartford, CT 06106 |  | 13301 Bruce B. Downs Blvd. |
|  | (860) 951-2212 ext. 239 |  | Tampa, FL 33612 |
|  | (860) 951-6511 (fax) |  | (813) 974-7411 |
|  | amy.sampson@cahs.org |  | (813) 974 -8534 (fax) |
|  | www.cahs.org |  | weitzel@fmhi.usf.edu |
|  |  |  | www.floridakidscount.org |
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| Georgia | Georgians For Children | Illinois | Voices for Illinois Children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gustave Thomas | 300 W Wieuca Rd. NW | Julie Zasadny | 208 S LaSalle St. |
| Executive Director | Suite 216 | Director of | Suite 1490 |
|  | Atlanta, GA 30342 | Communications | Chicago, IL 60604 |
|  | (404) 843-0017 |  | (312) 516-5551 |
|  | (404) 843-0019 (fax) |  | (312) 456-0088 (fax) |
|  | gustave@georgians.com |  | jzasadny@voices4kids.org |
|  | www.georgians.com |  | www.voices 4 kids.org |
| Hawaii | Center on the Family | Indiana | Indiana Youth Institute |
| Marcia Hartsock | University of Hawaii-Manoa | Karen Ruprecht | 603 E Washington St. |
| KIDS COUNT | 2515 Campus Rd. | Director of | Suite 800 |
| Project Director | Miller Hall 103 | Programs | Indianapolis, IN 46208-4046 |
|  | Honolulu, HI 96822 |  | (800) 343-7060 |
|  | (808) 956-4136 |  | (317) 924-1314 (fax) |
|  | (808) 956-4147 (fax) |  | ruprecht@iyi.org |
|  | marciah@hawaii.edu |  | www.iyi.org |
|  | uhfamily.hawaii.edu |  |  |
| Idaho | Mountain States Group | lowa | Child \& Family Policy Center |
| Linda Jensen | 1607 W Jefferson St. | Michael Crawford | 218 Sixth Ave. |
| KIDS COUNT Director | Boise, ID 83702 | KIDS COUNT | Suite 1021 |
|  | (208) 388-1014 | Project Director | Des Moines, IA 50309 |
|  | (208) 331-0267 (fax) |  | (515) 280-9027 |
|  | ljensen@mtnstatesgroup.org |  | (515) 244-8997 (fax) |
|  | www.idahokids.org |  | mcrawford@cfpciowa.org |
|  |  |  | www.cfpciowa.org |

# Primary Contacts for State KIDS COUNT Projects 

| Kansas | Kansas Action for Children | Maine | Maine Children's Alliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gary Brunk | PO Box 463 | Lynn Davey | 303 State St. |
| Executive Director | Topeka, KS 66601 | KIDS COUNT | Augusta, ME 04330 |
|  | (785) 232-0550 | Director | (207) 623-1868 |
|  | (785) 232-0699 (fax) |  | (207) 626-3302 (fax) |
|  | brunk@kac.org |  | ldavey@mekids.org |
|  | www.kac.org |  | www.mekids.org |
| Kentucky | Kentucky Youth Advocates, Inc. | Maryland | Advocates for Children \& Youth |
| Valerie Salley | 2034 Frankfort Ave. | Jennean Everett- | 34 Market Pl. |
| KIDS COUNT | Louisville, KY 40206 | Reynolds | 5th Floor |
| Coordinator | (502) 895-8167 | KIDS COUNT | Bernstein Building |
|  | (502) 895-8225 (fax) | Project Director | Baltimore, MD 21202 |
|  | vsalley@kyyouth.org |  | (410) 547-9200 |
|  | www.kyyouth.org |  | (410) 547-8690 (fax) |
|  |  |  | jenneanr@aol.com |
|  |  |  | www.acy.org |
| Louisiana | Agenda for Children | Massachusetts | Massachusetts Citizens for Children |
| Shannon Johnson | PO Box 51837 | Barry Hock | 14 Beacon St. |
| KIDS COUNT | New Orleans, LA 70151 | KIDS COUNT | Suite 706 |
| Coordinator | (504) 586-8509 | Coordinator | Boston, MA 02108 |
|  | (504) 586-8522 (fax) |  | (617) 742-8555 |
|  | sjohnson@agendaforchildren.org |  | (617) 742-7808 (fax) |
|  | www.agendaforchildren.org |  | barry@masskids.org |
|  |  |  | www.masskids.org |
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| Michigan <br> Jane Zehnder- | Michigan League for Human Services | Missouri <br> Cande Iveson | Citizens for Missouri's Children 621 E McCarty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Merrell | 1115 S Pennsylvania Ave. | KIDS COUNT | Suite D |
| KIDS COUNT | Suite 202 | Project Director | Jefferson City, MO 65101 |
| Project Director | Lansing, MI 48912-1658 |  | (573) 634-4324 |
|  | (517) 487-5436 |  | (573) 634-7540 (fax) |
|  | (517) 371-4546 (fax) |  | civeson@mokids.org |
|  | janez@mlan.net |  | www.mokids.org |
|  | www.milhs.org |  |  |
| Minnesota <br> Diane Benjamin <br> KIDS COUNT Director | Children's Defense Fund- | Montana | Bureau of Business |
|  | Minnesota | Steve Seninger | and Economic Research |
|  | 200 University Ave. W | Director of | University of Montana |
|  | Suite 210 | Economic Analysis | 234 Gallagher Business Building |
|  | St. Paul, MN 55103 |  | Missoula, MT 59812-6840 |
|  | (651) 227-6121 |  | (406) 243-2725 |
|  | (651) 227-2553 (fax) |  | (406) 243-2086 (fax) |
|  | benjamin@cdf-mn.org |  | steve.seninger@business.umt.edu |
|  | www.cdf-mn.org |  |  |
| Mississippi | Mississippi Forum on | Nebraska | Voices for Children in Nebraska |
| K.C. Grist | Children and Families, Inc. | Janet Johnston | 7521 Main St. |
| KIDS COUNT | 737 N President St. | Research | Suite 103 |
| Project Coordinator | Jackson, MS 39202 | Coordinator | Omaha, NE 68127 |
|  | (601) 355-4911 |  | (402) 597-3100 |
|  | (601) 355-4813 (fax) |  | (402) 597-2705 (fax) |
|  | kccald@meta3.net |  | jiohnston@voicesforchildren.com |

# Primary Contacts for State KIDS COUNT Projects 

| Nevada | Center for Business and | New Mexico | New Mexico Advocates for |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R. Keith Schwer | Economic Research | Kelly O'Donnell | Children \& Families |
| Director | University of Nevada, Las Vegas | KIDS COUNT | 8505 Rancho Diego Pl. NE |
|  | 4505 S Maryland Pkwy. | Coordinator | Albuquerque, NM 87113 |
|  | Box 456002 |  | (505) 259-9713 |
|  | Las Vegas, NV 89154 |  | (505) 244-9509 (fax) |
|  | (702) 895-3191 |  | kodonnel@uswest.net |
|  | (702) 895-3606 (fax) |  | www.nmadvocates.org |
|  | schwer@nevada.edu |  |  |
|  | www.kidscount.unlv.edu |  |  |
| New Hampshire | Children's Alliance of | New York | State of New York Council on |
| Candace Leonard | New Hampshire | Deborah Benson | Children and Families |
| KIDS COUNT | 2 Greenwood Ave. | Director of | 5 Empire State Plaza |
| Coordinator | Concord, NH 03301 | Policy Planning | Suite 2810 |
|  | (603) 225-2264 | \& Research | Albany, NY 12223-1533 |
|  | (603) 225-8264 (fax) |  | (518) 474.6294 |
|  | cleonard@childrennh.org |  | (518) 473-2570 (fax) |
|  | www.childrennh.org |  | debbie.benson@ccf.state.ny.us |
|  |  |  | www.capital.net/com/council |
| New Jersey | Association for Children of | North Carolina | North Carolina Child |
| Eloisa Hernandez | New Jersey | Joann Haggerty | Advocacy Institute |
| KIDS COUNT Director | 35 Halsey St. | Research \& Data | 311 E Edenton St. |
|  | Newark, NJ 07102 | Director | Raleigh, NC 27601-1017 |
|  | (973) 643-3876 |  | (919) 834-6623 ext. 233 |
|  | (973) 643-9153 (fax) |  | (919) 829-7299 (fax) |
|  | eloisa@acnj.org |  | jhaggerty@intrex.net |
|  | www.acnj.org |  | www.ncchild.org |
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| North Dakota | North Dakota KIDS COUNT | Oregon | Children First for Oregon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ann Lochner | North Dakota State University | Liz Smith | PO Box 14914 |
| Director | Department of Agribusiness and | KIDS COUNT | Portland, OR 97214 |
|  | Applied Economics | Coordinator | (503) 236-9754 |
|  | IACC 424 |  | (503) 236-3048 (fax) |
|  | $\text { PO Box } 5636$ |  | liz@cffo.org |
|  | Fargo, ND 58105 |  | www.cffo.org |
|  | (701) 231-7980 |  |  |
|  | (701) 231-7400 (fax) |  |  |
|  | ann_lochner@mail.und.nodak.edu |  |  |
| Ohio | Children's Defense Fund Ohio | Pennsylvania | Pennsylvania Partnerships |
| Mark Real | 52 E Lynn St. | Joan Benso | for Children |
| Executive Director | Suite 400 | Executive Director | 20 N Market Sq. |
|  | Columbus, OH 43215-3551 |  | Suite 300 |
|  | (614) 221-2244 |  | Harrisburg, PA 17101 |
|  | (614) 221-2247 (fax) |  | (717) 236-5680 |
|  | mreal@cdfohio.org |  | (717) 236-7745 (fax) |
|  | www.cdfohio.org |  | jbenso@papartnerships.org |
|  |  |  | www.papartnerships.org |
| Oklahoma | Oklahoma Institute for | Rhode Island | Rhode Island KIDS COUNT |
| Ann Salazar | Child Advocacy | Elizabeth Burke | 1 Union Station |
| KIDS COUNT | 420 NW 13th St. | Bryant | Providence, RI 02903 |
| Leadership | Suite 101 | Executive Director | (401) 351-9400 |
| Coordinator | Oklahoma City, OK 73103 |  | (401) 351-1758 (fax) |
|  | (405) 236-5437 |  | ebb@rikidscount.org |
|  | (405) 236-5439 (fax) |  | www.rikidscount.org |
|  | apsalazar@oica.org |  |  |
|  | www.oica.org |  |  |

# Primary Contacts for State KIDS COUNT Projects 

| South Carolina | South Carolina Budget \& | Texas | Center for Public Policy Priorities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baron Holmes | Control Board | Dianne Stewart | 900 Lydia St. |
| KIDS COUNT | PO Box 12444 | Executive Director | Austin, TX 78702 |
| Project Director | Columbia, SC 29211 |  | (512) 320-0222 |
|  | (803) 734-2291 |  | (512) 320-0227 (fax) |
|  | (803) 734-1276 (fax) |  | www.cppp.org/kidscount |
|  | bholmes@ogc.state.sc.us |  |  |
|  | www.ors.state.sc.us/kc.html |  |  |
| South Dakota | Business Research Bureau | U.S. Virgin Islands | The Community Foundation |
| Carole Cochran | University of South Dakota | Dee Baecher- | of the Virgin Islands |
| Project Director | 414 E Clark St. | Brown | PO Box 11790 |
|  | Vermillion, SD 57069 | Executive Director | 38A Dronningens Gade |
|  | (605) 677-5287 |  | Suite 103 |
|  | (605) 677-5427 (fax) |  | St. Thomas |
|  | ccochran@usd.edu |  | USVI 00801 |
|  | www.usd.edu/brbinfo/brb/kc |  | (340) 774-6031 |
|  |  |  | (340) 774-3852 (fax) |
|  |  |  | dbrowncfvi@attglobal.net |
| Tennessee | Tennessee Commission on | Utah | Utah Children |
| Pam Brown | Children \& Youth | Terry Haven | 757 E South Temple St. |
| KIDS COUNT | Andrew Johnson Tower | KIDS COUNT | Suite 250 |
| Project Director | 9th Floor | Coordinator | Salt Lake City, UT 84102 |
|  | 710 James Robertson Pkwy. |  | (801) 364-1182 |
|  | Nashville, TN 37243-0800 |  | (801) 364-1186 (fax) |
|  | (615) 532-1571 |  | terryh@utahchildren.net |
|  | (615) 741-5956 (fax) |  | www.utahchildren.net |
|  | pbrown3@mail.state.tn.us |  |  |
|  | www.state.tn.us/tccy |  |  |
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Vermont Carlen Finn
Executive Director

Vermont Children's Forum
PO Box 261
Montpelier, VT 05601
(802) 229-6377
(802) 229-4929 (fax)
vtcyf@together.net

Action Alliance for Virginia's
Children \& Youth
701 E Franklin St.
Suite 807
Richmond, VA 23219
(804) 649-0184
(804) 649-0161 (fax)
cindy@vakids.org
www.vakids.org

| Washington | Human Services Policy Center |
| :--- | :--- |
| Richard Brandon | Evans School of Public Affairs |
| Executive Director | University of Washington |
|  | 303 Parrington |
|  | Box 353060 |
|  | Seattle, WA 98195-3060 |
|  | $(206) 543-8483$ |
|  | $(206) \mathbf{6 1 6 - 1 5 5 3}$ (fax) |
|  | brandon@u.washington.edu |
|  | www.hspc.org |

## West Virginia

Margie Hale
Executive Director
West Virginia KIDS COUNT Fund
1031 Quarrier St.
Suite 313
Atlas Building
Charleston, WV 25301
(304) 345-2101
(304) 345-2102 (fax)
margiehale@wvkidscountfund.org
www.wvkidscountfund.org

Wisconsin
M. Martha Cranley

KIDS COUNT
Coordinator

Wyoming
Kathy Emmons
Executive Director

Wyoming Children's Action
Alliance
2712 Thomas Ave.
Cheyenne, WY 82001
(307) 635-2272
(307) 635-4680 (fax)
kemmons@trib.com
www.wykids.com

## PRO

The Annie E. Casey Foundation
701 St. Paul Street Balfimore, MD 21202
410.547 .6600
410.547 .6624 fax
www.kidscount.org

Population Reference Bureau
1875 Connecticut Avenue NW
Suite 520
Washington, DC 20009-5738
202.483.1100
202.328.3937 fax www.prb.org

The Annie E. Casey Foundation is a private charitable organization dedicated to helping build better futures for disadvantaged children in the United States. It was established in 1948 by Jim Casey, one of the founders of United Parcel Service, and his siblings, who named the Foundation in honor of their mother. The primary mission of the Foundation is to foster public policies, human-service reforms, and community supports that more effectively meet the needs of today's vulnerable children and families. In pursuit of this goal, the Foundation makes grants that help states, cities, and communities fashion more innovative, cost-effective responses to these needs.

The Annie E. Casey Foundation
701 St. Paul Street
Balfimore, MD 21202
410.547.6600
410.547.6624 fax
www.kidscount.org


[^0]:    *See Definitions and Data Sourres, page 102

