2020 Census Self-Response Rates by Risk of Undercounting Young Children June 18, 2020 to June 25, 2020

Table 1. Average 2020 Census Self-Response Rates and Average Change in Self-Response Rates Across CensusTracts, by Risk of Undercounting Young Children, June 18, 2020 to June 25, 2020

		Average percentage-
	Average tract-	point change in
	level response	response rate (6/18-
	rate (6/25)	6/25)
All Census Tracts in the United States	61.5	0.19
All Census Tracts in Large Counties*	63.0	0.18
Census Tracts with a Low Risk of Undercounting Young Children	69.1	0.17
Census Tracts with a High Risk of Undercounting Young Children	64.5	0.17
Census Tracts with a Very High Risk of Undercounting Young Children	55.3	0.21

*Large counties are 689 counties that had at least 5,000 children ages 0-4 in the 2010 Census.

Table 2a. Twenty Counties With the Largest Numbers of Young Children Living in Very-High-Risk Census Tractsand 2020 Self-Response Rates in Those Counties, June 25, 2020



Note: Green shading = At or above the national average. Red shading = below the national average.

www.prb.org

Table 2b. Twenty Counties with the Largest Shares of Young Children Living in Very-High-Risk Census Tractsand 2020 Self-Response Rates in Those Counties, June 25, 2020



Note: Green shading = At or above the national average. Red shading = below the national average.

About these estimates:

The estimated risk of undercount for young children is based on PRB's analysis of ACS estimates and the U.S. Census Bureau's Revised 2018 Experimental Demographic Analysis Estimates for young children. Data are based on 2020 Census tract boundaries.

For a detailed description of the methods and data sources used to predict child undercount risk, please refer to William P. O'Hare, Linda A. Jacobsen, Mark Mather, & Alicia VanOrman, Predicting Tract-level Net Undercount Risk for Young Children report available on PRB's website:

https://www.prb.org/new-strategies-to-reduce-undercount-of-young-children-in-2020-census/

Acknowledgement:

This research was funded by The Annie E. Casey Foundation, Inc., and we thank them for their support; however, the findings and conclusions presented in this report are those of the authors alone, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Foundation.

www.prb.org